# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: CA

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- FORM 6 NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORN AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASE CONFIRMED, AND TREATED
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- Form 10 Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant State Profile for FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
  - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION FOR FEDERA	L ASSISTANCE		. DATE SUBMITTED /14/2005	APPLICAI	ICANT IDENTIFIER		
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION:		3	. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	STATE A	PPLICATION IDENTIFIER		
Application Pre-	-application	L					
Construction	Construction	4	. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL	_ IDENTIFIER		
Non-Construction	Non-Construction						
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION							
Legal Name: State of California			Organizational Unit: Title V Agency: MCAH Branch/CMS Branch				
Address (give city, county, state and zi 1615 Capitol Avenue, 5th Floor PO Box 997420 MS 8300 Sacramento, CA 95899 County: Sacramento	,		Name and telephone number of the person to be application (give area code) Name: Susann J. Steinberg, MD; Marian Dall Tel Number: 916-650-0300	sey, MD, MF	РН		
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUM  6 8 0 3 1 7	MBER (EIN): 1 9 1		7. TYPE OF APPLICANT: (Enter appropriate letter in box) A  A. State H. Independent School District B. County I. State Controlled Institution of Higher Learning C. Municiple J. Private University D. Township K. Indian Tribe E. Interstate L. Individual F. Intermuniciple M. Profit Organization G. Special District N. Other (Specify)				
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION:  New Continuation Revis	ion		9 NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY: Health Resources and Services Administrat	ion, Materna	al and Child Health Bureau		
If Revision, enter appropriate letter(s) i A. Increase Award B. Decrease Award C. Increa Decrease Duration Other (specify):							
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMES NUMBER:	TIC ASSISTANCE		11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S P Title V Block Grant Application & Progress				
TITLE: Maternal and Child Health Se  12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT states, etc.):  State of California			·				
13. PROPOSED PROJECT:		14. C	ONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF:				
Start Date: Ending Date 10/01/2005	e: a	a. App	b. Pr	oject alifornia Dis	stricts		
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING:			ON SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECU				
a. Federal \$ 47,947,19 b. Applicant \$			EAPPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE AV PROCESS FOR REVIEW ON	/AILABLE TO	O THE STATE EXECUTIVE		
c. State \$ 855,004,85	b. NO F	PROG	GRAM IS NOT COVERED BY E.O. 12372				
d. Local \$		OR PE	ROGRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STA	ATE FOR RE	VIEW		
e. Other \$	0.00						
f. Program \$ 787,434,3°	11.00						
Income To7,434,3			CANT DE INQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEDT	-			
g. TOTAL \$1,690,386,38	55.00		CANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT attach an explanation No				
	IORIZED BY THE G	OVE	ATA IN THIS APPLICATION/PREAPPLICATION RNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE /ED.				
a. Typed Name of Authorized Represe Susann J. Steinberg, MD; Marian Da			b. Title Chief, MCAH Branch; Acting Chief, CMS B	ranch	c. Telephone Number 916-650-0300		
d. Signature of Authorized Representa			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		e. Date Signed		

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

FORM	2		
MCH BUDGET DETAIL		Y 2006	
[Secs. 504 (d) and 5 STATE: 0			
	<b>-</b>		
1. FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$ 47,947,194
A.Preventive and primary care for children:			
\$ 15,342,341 ( 32%)			
B.Children with special health care needs:			
\$ 19,503,833 ( 40.68%) (If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the applications are supported by the supplication of t	on)[Sec. 505(	(a)(3)]	
C.Title V admininstrative costs:			
\$ 2,430,993 ( 5.07%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]			
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$ 0
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$ 855,004,850
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$ 0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$ 0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$ 787,434,311
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 87,158,750			\$ 1,642,439,161
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT PAR (Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)	TNERSH	IIP (SUBTOTAL)	\$ 1,690,386,355
9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of the Title	e V program)		
a. SPRANS:	\$	0	
b. SSDI:	\$	100,000	
c. CISS:	\$	0	
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	0	
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0	
f. EMSC:	\$	0	
g. WIC:	\$	0	
h. AIDS:	\$	0	
i. CDC:	\$	0	
j. Education:	\$	0	
k. Other:			
	\$		
	\$		
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds under item 9)			\$ 100,000
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$ 1,690,486,355

In some cases, the Department of Health Services uses estimates to prepare budgeted amounts for this application process. This is due partly to the fact that the State budget has not been enacted and could alter the numbers reflected in Form 2. And a significant portion of the funding reflected in Form 2 is based upon caseload estimates which could increase or decrease during the year.

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$ 44,341,423	\$ 44,261,672	\$48,441,502	\$0	\$\$	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$	\$	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 849,821,442	\$ 926,389,409	\$ 980,327,697	\$0	\$ 855,004,850	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$	\$801,894,597	\$905,842,578	\$0	\$	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$1,599,634,418	\$1,775,145,678	\$1,934,611,777	\$0	\$1,690,386,355	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	NERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$100,000	\$99,280	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$1,599,734,418	\$1,775,244,958	\$1,934,711,777	\$0	\$1,690,486,355	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2	2003
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$43,010,496	\$ 40,849,979	\$	\$39,929,615	\$44,289,287	\$42,162,915
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$3,162,000	\$	\$ 2,600,000	\$	\$ 5,304,918	\$5,304,918
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 664,726,146	\$ 675,853,927	\$ 772,185,068	\$ 815,718,303	\$ 777,395,553	\$ 903,212,657
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ <u> </u>	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$1,892,000	\$1,802,000	\$ 1,528,500	\$1,529,000	\$ 1,316,400	\$1,272,421
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$451,465,946	\$ 475,561,951	\$550,104,501	\$ 634,816,747	\$ 575,718,071	\$
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 1,164,256,588	\$1,197,229,857	\$ 1,369,412,274	\$ 1,494,593,665	\$ 1,404,024,229	\$1,666,645,989
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 100,000	\$ 94,935	\$100,000	\$ 95,171	\$ 100,000	\$ 94,935
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 1,164,356,588	\$ 1,197,324,792	\$ 1,369,512,274	\$ 1,494,688,836	\$ 1,404,124,229	\$ 1,666,740,924
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

In some cases, the Department of Health Services uses estimates to assess expenditures for both individuals served and the types of services provided. These estimates are based on the target population and program activities established by legislative authorization and specified in the scope of work for each contractor or local government program. Requiring contractors to bill according to actual amounts spent on each type of individual served and by service provided is impossible within the current administrative and fiscal policies. Changing our contractual or program policies would result in undue financial and administrative hardship to local governments and non-profit community-based organizations that are already stretched very thin financially. This added burden without increased funding would result in many of them not being able to continue to provide needed services to women and children in the state.

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Caseload changes that increased the number of children in Healthy Families and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Caseload changes that increased the number of children in Healthy Families and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: ProgramIncomeExpended Row Name: Program Income Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The budgeted amounts were projections at a point in time. Expenditures reflect caseload changes.

### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY	2004	FY 2	2005	FY:	2006	
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$54,293,755	\$59,776,406	\$51,388,689	\$0	\$\$49,043,745	\$	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$41,088,990	\$41,897,203	\$ 88,628,806	\$0	\$ 43,525,596	\$	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$152,259,995	\$ 146,899,109	\$ 290,290,210	\$0	\$ 166,757,868	\$	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$1,348,695,967	\$1,522,526,833	\$1,501,585,171	\$0	\$1,428,072,399	\$	
e. Others	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$	
f. Administration	\$3,295,711	\$4,046,127	\$	\$0	\$	\$	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ <u>1,599,634,418</u>	\$ <u>1,775,145,678</u>	\$ <u>1,934,611,777</u>	\$0	\$ <u>1,690,386,355</u>	\$0	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	11	esponsible for admini		program).		1	
a. SPRANS	s 0		\$ 0	program).	\$ 0		
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000	]	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		
c. CISS	\$0	]	\$0		\$0		
d. Abstinence Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
g. WIC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
h. AIDS	\$0	]	\$0		\$0		
i. CDC	\$0		\$0		\$0		
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0		
k.Other							
III. SUBTOTAL	\$ 100,000	1	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		

### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	F	Y 2001	FY	2002	FY	2003
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 46,421,3	\$ 62,118,301	\$60,755,144	\$ 63,755,599	\$63,618,320	\$62,130,528
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$ 33,624,3	\$ 45,483,004	\$51,314,744	\$45,116,299	\$50,649,836	\$48,703,447
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 135,287,4	\$ 137,780,613	\$189,427,287	\$153,778,516	\$175,127,391	\$166,409,958
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$945,904,9	949,379,790	\$1,065,054,825	\$1,227,415,570	\$1,111,460,707	\$1,386,449,643
e. Others	\$	0 \$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$
f. Administration	\$3,018,4	\$ 2,468,149	\$ 2,860,274	\$ 2,998,682	\$3,167,975	\$ 2,952,413
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 1,164,256,588	\$ 1,197,229,857	\$ 1,369,412,274	\$ 1,493,064,666	\$ 1,404,024,229	\$ 1,666,645,989
	]					
II. Other Federal Funds (under the	control of the perso	on responsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).		
a. SPRANS	\$0		\$0		\$0	
b. SSDI	\$ 100,000		\$100,000		\$100,000	
c. CISS	\$0		\$0	]	\$0	]
d. Abstinence Education	\$0		\$0		\$0	
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0	
f. EMSC	\$0		\$0		\$0	]
g. WIC	\$0		\$0		\$0	
h. AIDS	\$0		\$0		\$0	
i. CDC	\$0		\$0		\$0	
j. Education	\$0		\$0		\$0	
k.Other				-		-
	7	_	\$ 100,000	1	\$ 100,000	1

In some cases, the Department of Health Services uses estimates to assess expenditures for both individuals served and the types of services provided. These estimates are based on the target population and program activities established by legislative authorization and specified in the scope of work for each contractor or local government program. Requiring contractors to bill according to actual amounts spent on each type of individual served and by service provided is impossible within the current administrative and fiscal policies. Changing our contractual or program policies would result in undue financial and administrative hardship to local governments and non-profit community-based organizations that are already stretched very thin financially. This added burden without increased funding would result in many of them not being able to continue to provide needed services to women and children in the state.

### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenBudgeted Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The estimated Budget is a point in time based on a higher projected expenditure rate and caseload than current expenditure levels. One example is the start up of the Health Care Program for Children in Foster Care Program. The projected budgeted level was \$22 million and expenditures were only \$14 million.

2. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The budgeted amounts were projections at a point in time. Expenditures reflect caseload changes.

. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Budgeted Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The estimated Budget is a point in time based on a higher projected expenditure rate and caseload than current expenditure levels. One example is the start up of the Health Care Program for Children in Foster Care Program. The projected budgeted level was \$22 million and expenditures were only \$14 million.

4. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Note:

Caseload changes and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended Year: 2004

Field Note:

Caseload changes and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

6. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNBudgeted Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Budgeted Year: 2006

Field Note:

The estimated Budget is a point in time based on a higher projected expenditure rate and caseload than current expenditure levels. One example is the start up of the Health Care Program for Children in Foster Care Program. The projected budgeted level was \$22 million and expenditures were only \$14 million.

7. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNExpended Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Caseload changes and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

 Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership Field Name: CSHCNExpended

Row Name: CSHCN
Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Caseload changes and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

9. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Caseload changes and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2004	FY:	2005	FY 2006		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$1,310,913,939	\$1,461,872,007	\$1,552,692,622	\$0	\$1,349,297,824	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$203,161,262	\$212,782,189	\$239,623,993	\$0	\$248,881,030	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 43,441,743	\$54,836,886	\$ 98,353,088	\$0	\$48,594,417	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$42,117,474	\$45,654,596	\$43,942,074	\$0	\$43,613,084	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$1,599,634,418	\$1,775,145,678	\$1,934,611,777	\$0	\$1,690,386,355	\$0	

### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2001	FY	2002	FY 2003		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 951,270,868	\$943,614,924	\$1,093,574,299	\$1,199,852,486	\$1,107,936,307	\$1,370,054,611	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$153,363,631	\$148,267,457	\$157,492,774	\$186,060,343	\$ 185,789,170	\$184,648,668	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 40,313,343	\$57,723,963	\$69,249,103	\$ 57,027,436	\$62,903,781	\$65,030,441	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$19,308,746	\$47,623,513	\$49,096,098	\$ 50,124,400	\$47,394,971	\$46,912,269	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$1,164,256,588	\$1,197,229,857	\$1,369,412,274	\$1,493,064,665	\$1,404,024,229	\$1,666,645,989	

None

### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCBudgeted Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Caseload changes that increased the number of children in Healthy Families and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Caseload changes that increased the number of children in Healthy Families and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended
Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Caseload changes that increased the number of children in Healthy Families and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Caseload changes that increased the number of children in Healthy Families and rate increases account for expenditure increases.

FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED											
Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)											
STATE: CA											
Total Births by Occurrence: 540,814 Reporting Year: 2003											
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	(E Needing Tre Received T	atment that					
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%					
Phenylketonuria	533,113	98.6	164	34	34	100					
Congenital Hypothyroidism	533,113	98.6	709	275	275	100					
Galactosemia	533,113	98.6	93	6	6	100					
Sickle Cell Disease	533,113	98.6	86	84	82	97.6					
Other Screening	(Specify)										
Screening Progra	ms for Older Ch	ildren & Wome	n (Specify Tests	by name)							
Expanded Alpha Fetoprotein Program	389,060		30,772	972	972	100					
HIV Testing older children 13-19 years of age and women 16-45 year old	66,394		0	414	0	0					
(2) Report only the	(1) Use occurrent births as denominator. (2) Report only those from resident births. (3) Use number of confirmed cases as denominator.										

Data Sources: California Department of Health Services, Genetic Disease Branch, 2003 Newborn Screening Records.

California Department Health Services, Office of AIDS.

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Confirmed

Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006 **Field Note:** 

Births without test results include births at federal hospitals (military hospitals, etc.) and cases with inadequate test specimens.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_TreatmentNo

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Needing treatment that received treatment

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Cases considered missing or without a penicillin date appear as "not treated or unknown".

Section Number: Screening Programs for Older Children and Women Field Name: OtherWomen Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns Vacus 2006

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data not available for (B) the number of HIV presumptive positive screens or for (D) the number needing treatment that received treatment.

### Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: CA

Reporting Year: 2003

	TITLE V	PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE						
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %		
Pregnant Women	540,827	44.1	0.0	53.6	2.1	0.2		
Infants < 1 year old	529,755	64.0			36.0			
Children 1 to 22 years old	1,584,725	58.6	0.0	0.0	41.4	0.0		
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	172,510	78.0	10.0	8.0	4.0	0.0		
Others								
TOTAL	2,827,817							

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen\_TS Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations (by place of residence) done by Maternal and Child Health Branch. Both "Expected Source of Payment for Delivery" and prenatal care coverage were used to determine "Primary Source of Coverage" for pregnant women. A woman who had Medi-Cal coverage for either prenatal care or delivery was considered covered by Title XIX. Codes are as follows:(B) Title XIX=Medi-Cal (02), [and Medi-Cal CPS(13) for prenatal care variable], (C) Title XXI=no codes used, (D) Private/Other= all payment source codes except those used in (B) and (E), and (E) None=self pay (09), No charge (10), Medically Indigent (12), Unknown payment source (99), and Medically Unattended / no prenatal care(00). Some of the payment source codes used for (D) might include some persons for whom health coverage was actually "none" but could not be separated from "covered" persons within the pertinent codes.

Estimate of women delivering in California based on the number of live births reported, including those served in programs funded by the MCAH/OFP Branch. This figure includes an estimated 38,535 pregnant women served in MCAH/OFP programs: 12,763 in the Adolescent Family Life Program (AFLP), 5,431 in the Black Infant Health Program (BIH) and 20,341 women served by the California Diabetes and Pregnancy Program (CDAPP) (AFLP data from CY 2004, CDAPP and BIH data for CY 2003). Medi-Cal eligibility for maternity and infant services was up to 200% FPL. Healthy Families Program (HFP) eligibility was raised from 200% FPL to 250% FPL in November 1999. All women who had Medi-Cal coverage for prenatal care and/or delivery services are included under Title XIX. Prior to 2000 data, only women with Medi-Cal delivery coverage were included.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_TS Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The number of infants less than one year of age is from CHDP Program data for FY2002-03. These are assumed to include an estimated 8,896 infants served in MCAH/OFP programs: 7,074 infants served in AFLP (CY 2004) and 1,822 served in BIH (CY 2003). Title XIX column includes Medi-Cal fee-for-service and Medi-Cal Managed Care from Information Only PM160 Forms. The "None" column represents families of infants receiving State-funded health assessments and indicating they have no other health insurance coverage

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_1\_22\_TS Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age Column Name: Title V Total Served Year: 2006

Field Note:

The number of children 1 to 21 years is from CHDP data for FY2002-03. These are assumed to include 19,898 children served in MCAH/OFP programs; 11,652 children over one year of age served in AFLP; 1,948 adolescents served in the Sibling Program; and 6,298 children over one year served in BIH. Title XIX column includes Medi-Cal fee-for-service and Medi-Cal Managed Care from Information Only PM160 Forms. The "None" column represents families of children 1-21 years receiving State-funded health assessments and indicating they have no other insurance coverage. The current information system does not allow for an unduplicated count of HFP enrollees and children who received a CHDP service prior to enrolling in HF in the same year.

Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_TS

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006

The number of CSHCN and the percent with Medi-Cal or HFcoverage are from CCS Program, Paid Claims, and CMS Net data for FY 2003-04. State and county funds, which are equally shared, are included in Private/Other and are estimated from CMS Net active cases. The CSHCN with no insurance are also estimated from CMS Net active cases.

Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers\_TS Row Name: Others

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Other service data not shown on Form 7 include 150.186 clients served by the California Battered Women Shelter Program in 2003, Some of these clients would be reported in rows (1-4) above. Others not reported here include families and communities served by the Fetal and Infant Mortality Review (FIMR) program.

### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: CA

Reporting Year: 2003

### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown			
DELIVERIES	DELIVERIES										
Total Deliveries in State	540,827	426,610	29,825	2,489	61,960	2,474	10,044	7,425			
Title V Served	540,827	426,610	29,825	2,489	61,960	2,474	10,044	7,425			
Eligible for Title XIX	237,278	200,409	15,121	1,297	13,768	1,043	3,395	2,245			
INFANTS											
Total Infants in State	540,827	426,610	29,825	2,489	61,960	2,474	10,044	7,425			
Title V Served	540,827	426,610	29,825	2,489	61,960	2,474	10,044	7,425			
Eligible for Title XIX	237,278	200,409	15,121	1,297	13,768	1,043	3,395	2,245			

### II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

				HISPANIC OR LATINO (Sub-categories by country or area of origin)				
	( A ) Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	( C ) Ethnicity Not Reported	( B.1 ) Mexican	( B.2 ) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	265,302	269,691	5,834	235,969	729	1,973	25,570	5,450
Title V Served	265,302	269,691	5,834	235,969	729	1,973	25,570	5,450
Eligible for Title XIX	63,743	172,060	1,475	153,755	199	728	15,491	1,887
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	265,302	269,691	5,834	235,969	729	1,973	25,570	5,450
Title V Served	265,302	269,691	5,834	235,969	729	1,973	25,570	5,450
Eligible for Title XIX	63,743	172,060	1,475	153,755	199	728	15,491	1,887

"Title V deliveries served" includes an estimated 12,763 in the Adolescent Family Life Program (CY 2004), an estimated 5,431 in the Black Infant Health Program (CY 2003), and an estimated 20,341 served by the California Diabetes and Pregnancy Program (CY 2003). "Title V infants served" includes an estimated 7,074 (CY 2004) infants in the Adolescent Family Life Program and 1,822 (CY 2003) in the Black Infant Health Program.

For the number of Total deliveries, Title V deliveries, Total infants and Title V infants, the number of 2003 live births (by place of residence) was used as an estimate. For the number of "Deliveries Eligible for XIX" and "Infants Eligible for XIX" a subset of 2003 live births was used as an estimate (subset of all live births for which "expected source of payment for delivery"= Medi-Cal by place of residence). Race and Hispanic origin of mother were used for Section I and II respectively. Column D includes American Indian, Eskimo, and Aleutian. Column E includes Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Vietnamese, Cambodian, Thai, Laotian, Hmong, Asian Indian, Filipino, Asian-specified, and Asian-unspecified. Column F includes Hawaiian, Samoan, Guamanian, and other Pacific Islander.

Asian-unspecified. Column F includes Hawaiian, Samoan, Guamanian, and other Pacific Islander.

Data Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Data by race/ethnicity were analyzed using mother's multi-race code. Tabulations were done by the Maternal, Child, and Adolescent Health/Office of Family Planning Branch.

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: CA

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) BABY-999				
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	BABY-CAL Information Line	BABY-CAL Information Line	BABY-CAL Information Line	BABY-CAL Information Line	BABY-CAL Infromation Line
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Kennalee Gable	Kennalee Gable	Lisa Jackson	John Wood	John Wood
Contact Person's Telephone Number	(916) 552-9443	(916) 552-9443	(916) 657-3719	(916) 657-5327	(916) 657-5327
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		19,746	38,000	35,068

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: CA

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	1-800-639-0597	1-800-639-0597			
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Genetically Handicapped Persons Program (GHPP) Information Line	Genetically Handicapped Persons Program (GHPP) Information Line			
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Gloria Padre	Gloria Padre			
Contact Person's     Telephone Number	(916) 327-2376	(916) 327-2376			
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	73,000	73,000	0

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: calls\_2

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

Includes 19,702 calls to the BabyCal hotline and 44 calls to the MCAH hotline.

Section Number: Optional Field Name: hnumber\_1

Row Name: State MCH toll-free hotline telephone number

Column Name: FY Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: Optional

Field Name: calls\_1

Row Name: State MCH Toll-Free Hotline Number

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

CMS Branch Hotline Number is 1-800-639-0597

Section Number: Optional

Field Name: hname\_1
Row Name: State MCH toll-free hotline name

Column Name: FY Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: Optional

Field Name: calls\_1

Row Name: State MCH Toll Free Hotline Name

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

CMS Branch Hotline Name is Genetically Handicapped Persons Program (GHPP) Information Line

Section Number: Optional Field Name: cname\_1

Row Name: Name of contact person for state MCH hotline

Column Name: FY Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: Optional

Field Name: calls\_1

Row Name: Name of Contact Person for State MCH Hotline

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

Name of CMS Branch Contact Person is Gloria Padre

Section Number: Optional Field Name: cnumber\_1

Row Name: Contact Person's telephone number

Column Name: FY Year: 2006 Field Note:

Section Number: Optional Field Name: calls\_1

Row Name: Contact Person's Telephone Number

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

CMS Branch Contact Phone Number is (916) 327-2376

Section Number: Optional Field Name: calls\_1

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

Section Number: Optional Field Name: calls\_1

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline this reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

This number is a CMS Branch estimate based on a 2-week count that found an average of 200 calls per day.

### **FORM 10** TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: CA

### 1. State MCH Administration:

Title V funds are administered by the Maternal, Child and Adolescent/Office of Family Planning (MCAH/OFP) Branch and the Children's Medical Services (CMS) Branch in the State of California. These two Branches are part of the Primary Care and Family Health Division which also includes WIC, the Genetic Disease Branch, and Primary Care and Rural Health Systems Branch (including Native American Health). The MCAH/OFP Branch conducts statewide assessment of needs, develops policies, plans and programs to improve the health of women, infants, adolescents, and families in California utilizing the core public health functions. The CMS Branch is responsible for the Child Health and Disability Prevention Program (CHDP), California Children's Services (CCS) program, and the Genetically Handicapped Persons Program (GHPP). CCS is the Children with Special Health Care Needs program.

Block Grant Funds	
2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$0
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$ 855,004,850
5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2)	\$0
6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)	\$0
7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2)	\$ 787,434,311
8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)	\$1,690,386,355
9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:	61 Local Health Depts; Public & private universiti  Community based organizations
	Primary care clinics
	CCS-approved providers
10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)	
a. Pregnant Women	540,827
b. Infants < 1 year old	529,755
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	1,584,725
d. CSHCN	172,510
e. Others	

11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:

### a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

Black Infant Health Program: Programs located in 17 local jurisdictions address community-based causes of Black infant mortality and low birthweight. Serves more than 14,400 Black women, infants, children and their families annually. Adolescent Family Life Program: AFLP provides case management for over 17,600 pregnant and parenting teens and their partners to prevent/reduce second pregnancies, improve pregnancy outcomes, and strengthen parenting skills. Domestic Violence Program: FY 05/06 is the beginning of the first year of a five-year grant cycle and consolidation of the shelter, prevention, and unserved/nderserved (UU) grants. All 94 shelters will receive Shelter, Prevention and U/U funding. The two technical assistance training support contracts will continue. California Children's Services and Genetically Handicapped Persons Program: CCS case manages the eligible condition for children in CCS and GHPP. Case management and specialty referral ensure that children with complex health and psycho-social needs have access to multi-disciplinary, coordinated evaluation and treatment from specialists in tertiary Pediatric Special Care Centers.

### b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) Program: Coordinates SIDS services through data collection and analysis, support services to families and child care providers, education and training for parents, coroners, nurses, first responders and child care providers, conducts the Back to Sleep campaign. Breastfeeding Strategic Plan defines specific activities to be carried out by the MCAH/OFP and CMS Branches in coordination with MCAH programs like AFLP and BIH and State and local agencies such as WIC. Childhood Injury Prevention Program: promotes public awareness of the preventable nature of injuries, develops coalitions at the local, State, and regional levels, and provides technical assistance to State and local programs. Birth Defects Monitoring Program: Collects and analyzes data on children with birth defects. Newborn Genetic Screening and Expanded Alpha Fetoprotein Programs: Screens for congenital primary hypothyroidism, galactosemia, phenylketonuria, and sickle cell disease and other hemoglobinopathies. Offers prenatal screening to detect neural tube defects and other fetal abnormalities. Newborn Hearing Screening Program: A statewide hearing screening program for all infants born in CCS-approved hospitals has been implemented.

### c. Infrastructure Building Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Local MCAH Programs: The MCAH/OFP Branch contracts with 58 counties and 3 city health jurisdictions to support the infrastructure at local health departments to promote the health and well being of all women of childbearing age, infants, children, and adolescents. Services include a toll-free telephone system; perinatal outreach and education; perinatal provider networks; monitoring and public education on children's issues, including injury and family violence prevention, and data collection. Fetal Infant Mortality Review Program: There are 17 FIMR projects that cover 17 counties in California where communities have set up a standardized infant death review system to identify, evaluate, and determine potential factors that contribute to preventable infant death. Development of CMS Net: The CMS Branch continues implementation of an integrated statewide data system, CMS Net. This case management system is allowing tracking of health services and outcomes for CSHCN, monitoring quality standards, and providing a basis for policy development. Linkage of this system with other State data systems is in progress and will improve management and epidemiologic capabilities.

12. The primary Title V Program contact person:

13. The children with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person:

Marian Dalsey, M.D., M.P.H.	Name	Susann J Steinberg, M.D.	Name
Acting Chief, Children's Medical Services Branch	Title	Chief, Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health/OFP Bran	Title
1515 K Street, Room 400, MS 8100, P.O. Box 997413	Address	1615 Capitol Avenue, MS 8300, PO Box 997420	Address
Sacramento	City	Sacramento	City
CA	State	CA	State
95899-7413	Zip	94234-7320	Zip
(916) 327-1400	Phone	(916) 650-0365	Phone
(916) 327-1106	Fax	(916) 650-0305	Fax
mdalsey@dhs.ca.gov	Email	ssteinbe@dhs.ca.gov	Email
http://www.dhs.ca.gov/pcfh/cms/ccs/	Web	http://www.mch.dhs.ca.gov/	Web

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

### **FORM 11** TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: CA

### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual Ob	ective and Perform	mance Data	
2000	2001	;	2002	2003	2004
			99	99	9
		98.4	98.7	99.5	99
		375	376	397	
		381	381	399	
				Final	Provisional
		Annual Ob	jective and Perfor	mance Data	
2005	2006	:	2007	2008	2009
99.5		99.5	99.5	99.5	99
	- Obi	aa far tha ah	ura vaara Numarat	or, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
	<b>2005</b> 99.5	2005 2006	2000 2001 3  98.4  375  381  Annual Obj 2005 2006 2	2000 2001 2002  99  98.4 98.7  375 376  381 381  2005 2006 2007  99.5 99.5 99.5	99 99 98.4 98.7 99.5 375 376 397 381 381 399 Final  Annual Objective and Performance Data 2005 2006 2007 2008 99.5 99.5 99.5 99.5

### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

50.5

			Annual C	bjective and	d Perforn	nance Data			
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective							48.5		49.5
Annual Indicator					47.6		47.6		47.6
Numerator	. <u> </u>								
Denominator									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual C	bjective and	d Perforn	nance Data			
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	

51.5

**Annual Indicator** 

**Annual Performance Objective** 

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

52.5

53.5

53.5

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03						
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	o receive coordinate	ad ongoing compre	hensive care within a r	medical home (CSHC	N Survey)	
The percent of children with special health care needs age of to 10 with	o receive coordinate		Objective and Perfor	,	Jiv Gurvey)	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective				45.5		46.5
Annual Indicator			44.7	44.7		44.7
Numerator						
Denominator						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final	
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	48	50	52	54		54
Annual Indicator	Places fill in only th	o Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor Donominator and	l Annual Ind	iootoro o
	not required for futu		above years. Numera	ior, Denominator and	i Annuai inu	icators a
Denominator						
DEDECOMANOS MEAGUES # 04						
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who	ose families have ac		or public insurance to		hey need. ((	CSHCN
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)	ose families have ac		•		hey need. (( <b>2004</b>	CSHCN
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)	2000	Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		CSHCN 62.5
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor	mance Data 2003		
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 59.3	2003 60.5		62.5
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 59.3	2003 60.5		62.5
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 59.3	2003 60.5		62.5
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 59.3	2003 60.5 59.3	2004	62.5
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	Annual 2001 Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002 59.3 Objective and Perfor	7 1 2003 60.5 59.3 Final	2004 Final	62.5
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	Annual 2001 Annual 2006	Objective and Perfor  2002  59.3  Objective and Perfor  2007	Final  mance Data 2003  60.5  59.3  Final  mance Data 2008	2004	62.5 59.3
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 who Survey)  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000	Annual 2001 Annual	Objective and Perfor 2002 59.3 Objective and Perfor	7 1 2003 60.5 59.3 Final	2004 Final	62.5
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000	Annual 2001  Annual 2006 66.5	Objective and Perfor  2002  59.3  Objective and Perfor  2007	Final  mance Data 2003  60.5  59.3  Final  mance Data 2008  70.5	2004 Final 2009	62.5 59.3 70.5

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05									
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the o	community-b	ased servi	ce systems ar	e organ	ized so the	y can use	them easi	ly. (CSH0
			Annual O	bjective and	Perform	nance Data	<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective							67		68
Annual Indicator					65.9		65.9		65.9
Numerator	·								
Denominator			_						
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual O	bjective and	Perform	nance Data	<u>a</u>		
	2005	2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	69		70		71		72		72
Annual Indicator	Places fill in only th	ne Ohiective	s for the ak	ove vears. N	umerato	r Denomin	nator and	Annual Ind	icatore a
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective ure year dat	s for the at	oove years. N	umerato	or, Denomin	nator and	Annual Ind	icators a
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective ure year dat	s for the aba.	oove years. N	umerato	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Ind	icators a
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objective ure year dat	s for the ab	oove years. N	umerato	or, Denomin	nator and	Annual Ind	icators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year dat	a.						icators a
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data	a. e transition		of adult	t life. (CSH	CN Surve		icators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data	a. e transition	to all aspects	of adult	t life. (CSH	CN Surve		icators a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necession.	sary to make	a.  e transition  Annual O	to all aspects	of adult	t life. (CSHo	CN Surve	ey)	icators a
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06  The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services neces:	sary to make	a.  e transition  Annual O	to all aspects	of adult	t life. (CSHo	CN Surve	ey)	icators al
Numerator  Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06  The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to make	a.  e transition  Annual O	to all aspects bjective and 2002	of adult	t life. (CSHo	CN Surve	ey)	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to make	a. e transition Annual O	to all aspects bjective and 2002	of adult	t life. (CSHo	CN Surve	ey)	
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06  The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to make	a. e transition Annual O	to all aspects bjective and 2002	of adult	t life. (CSHo	CN Surve	ey)	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to make	a. e transition Annual O	to all aspects bjective and 2002	of adult	t life. (CSHenance Data 2003	CN Surve	ey)	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to make	a.  transition  Annual O	to all aspects bjective and 2002	of adult	t life. (CSHonance Data 2003	CN Surve	ey)	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to make	a.  transition  Annual O	to all aspects bjective and 2002	of adult	t life. (CSHonance Data 2003	CN Surve	ey)	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed 2000	sary to make	a.  transition  Annual O	to all aspects bjective and 2002	of adult	t life. (CSHonance Data 2003  Final	CN Surve	2004	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services neces:	sary to make	a.  e transition  Annual O	to all aspects bjective and 2002 bjective and 2007	5.8	Final	5.8	2004	5.8

Percent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of ag laemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.	o appior					_			
	2000		2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da 2003	<u>ata</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		76		76.4	75.4		75.8		75.8
Annual Indicator	r	75.3		74.9	75.8		77.4		77.4
Numerato	r	419,794		419,944	427,631		400,715		
Denominato	r	557,496		560,673	564,157		517,719		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?					Final		Provision	nal
						_			
	2005		2006	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da 2008	<u>ata</u>	2009	
		70		78.3			78.9	2000	79.
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	r Please not req	78 e fill in only th quired for futu		ves for the a	78.6 ibove years. Numera	itor, Denon		Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	r Please r not req r	e fill in only th		ves for the a		itor, Denon		Annual Ind	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	r Please r not req	e fill in only th	ure year o	ves for the a	above years. Numera	rmance Da	ninator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	r Please r not req r	e fill in only th quired for futu		ves for the a	above years. Numera		ninator and	Annual Ind	licators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 the rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	r Please r not req r	e fill in only th quired for futu 28.7	ure year o	ves for the a lata.	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da	ninator and		licators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	r Please r not req r 2000	e fill in only th quired for futu 28.7 26.6	ure year o	ves for the a lata.  Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da	ninator and  ata  22.3		licators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	r Please not req r	28.7 26.6 28.7	ure year o	Annual C	Objective and Performance 2002 23.5 22.4	rmance Da	22.3 21.1		licators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 ne rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please r not req r 2000	28.7 26.6 28.7	ure year o	Annual C  25  23.8  17,307	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.5 22.4 16,660	rmance Da	22.3 21.1 16,193		21.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 The rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please r not req r 2000	28.7 26.6 28.7	ure year o	Annual C  25  23.8  17,307  726,912	2002 23.5 22.4 16,660 745,239	rmance Da 2003 Final	22.3 21.1 16,193 766,755	2004	21.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominato  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 he rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please r not req r 2000	28.7 26.6 28.7	ure year o	Annual C  25  23.8  17,307  726,912	Objective and Perfor 2002 23.5 22.4 16,660	rmance Da 2003 Final	22.3 21.1 16,193 766,755	2004	21.

rcent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one peri				
	2000	<u>Annual</u> 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		18.7	19.5	19.9	2004
	18.7		19.7	31.0	31.0
				157,000	157,00
		230,919 1,184,201		506,000	506.00
Denominatoi Is the Data Provisional or Final?	1,211,762	1,164,201	1,167,565	Final	Final
is the Data Flovisional of Final:				i iliai	i iliai
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	31	31	31	31	3
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	he Objectives for the	ahove vears. Numera	tor Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Diagon fill in only th	he Objectives for the ure vear data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure yéar data.	, 	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure yéar data. es per 100,000 childr	, 		Annual Indicators
Numerator  Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr Annual	en.  Objective and Perfor  2002		2004
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	Please fill in only the not required for fut motor vehicle crash	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001	en. Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crash	es per 100,000 childr  Annual  2001  2.6  3.1	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 2.8	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	motor vehicle crash	ure year data. es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001	en. Objective and Perfor 2002 2.8	<u>rmance Data</u> 2003 2.6	2004
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	motor vehicle crash	es per 100,000 childr  Annual  2001  2.6  3.1	en.  Objective and Perfor  2002  2.8  2.9  227	2003 2006 3.6	2004
Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	motor vehicle crash  2000  2.7  2.9  228  7,792,472	es per 100,000 childr  Annual  2001  2.6  3.1	en.  Objective and Perfor  2002  2.8  2.9  227	2003 2.6 3.6 289	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	motor vehicle crash  2000  2.7  2.9  228  7,792,472	es per 100,000 childr  Annual  2001  2.6  3.1  245  7,856,359	en.  Objective and Perfor 2002  2.8  2.9  227  7,905,059	7,960,784 Final	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	motor vehicle crash  2000  2.7  2.9  228  7,792,472	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 2.6 3.1 245 7,856,359	en.  Objective and Perfor  2002  2.8  2.9  227  7,905,059  Objective and Perfor	7.960,784 Final	2004 2. 3. Provisional
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 e rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	motor vehicle crash  2000  2.7  2.9  228  7,792,472	es per 100,000 childr Annual 2001 2.6 3.1 245 7,856,359 Annual 2006	en.  Objective and Perfor  2002  2.8  2.9  227  7,905,059	7,960,784 Final	2004

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11								
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital disch	arge.							
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor				
	2000	2001	44.0	2002	2003	4.4	2004	44.6
Annual Performance Objectiv			44.8	43.1		44		44.9
Annual Indicate			42.2	41.8		41.2	-	41.2
Numerato			214,716	209,432		8,855		
Denominato	r 513,757		508,723	500,843	50	7,143		
Is the Data Provisional or Final	?				Final		Provision	nal
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data			
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	e 41.7		42.2	42.7		43.2		43.
Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objective ure year da	es for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denomina	tor and	Annual Indi	icators
Numerato Denominato ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for futher	ure year da	ata.			tor and a	Annual Indi	icators
Numerato Denominato ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut required hospital discharge.	ure yéar da	ata.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	tor and a		icators
Numerate Denominate Processing Section 12  REFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  recentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut re hospital discharge.	ure yéar da	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002			Annual Indi	
Numerate Denominate  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut the hospital discharge.  2000  2000	ure year da	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002 40	mance Data	60		7
Numerate Denominate Denominate Performance MEASURE # 12 Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicate	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut re hospital discharge.  2000  e 10 13.7	2001	Annual C  15  21.6	2002 40 52.2	mance Data 2003	60 56.2		7
Numerate Denominate  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicate  Numerate	Please fill in only the not required for fut the hospital discharge.  2000  e	2001	Annual C  15  21.6  114,166	2002 40 52.2 276,646	mance Data 2003 	60 56.2 4,469		7
Numerate Denominate  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicate  Numerate	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut repaired for fut required for	2001	Annual C  15  21.6	2002 40 52.2 276,646	mance Data 2003 	60 56.2		7 56.
Numerate Denominate  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicate  Numerate  Denominate	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut repaired for fut required for	2001	Annual C  15  21.6  114,166  528,609	2002 40 52.2 276,646 530,203	30 54 Final	60 56.2 4,469	2004	7 56.
Numerate Denominate  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicate  Numerate Denominate	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut repaired for fut required for	2001	Annual C  15  21.6  114,166  528,609	2002 40 52.2 276,646	30 54 Final	60 56.2 4,469	2004 Provision	7 56.
Numerate Denominate  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicate  Numerate  Denominate	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for	2001	Annual C  15  21.6  114,166  528,609	2002 40 52.2 276,646 530,203 20bjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003  30 54 Final	60 56.2 4,469	2004	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicate  Denominate  Is the Data Provisional or Final  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicate	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut repaired for fut required for fut required for fut required for fut repaired for fut required for	2001 2006	Annual C  15  21.6  114,166  528,609  Annual C	2002  40  52.2  276,646  530,203  Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003  30 54 Final  mance Data 2008	60 56.2 4,469 1,760	2004 Provision 2009	7 56. nal

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13					
Percent of children without health insurance.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		16.2	16.7	15.5	15.5
	15.7		14.3	13.1	13.
Numerator	1,606,084	1,594,275	1,513,479	1,317,215	
Denominator	10,229,830	10,420,100	10,583,770	10,055,080	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	12.9	12.8	12.7	12.6	12.
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numeral	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.	above years. Numerate		Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.			Annual Indicators 2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	not required for fut service paid by the I	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 rcent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	not required for fut service paid by the ! 2000	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual C  2001  60.3	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of the con	not required for fut service paid by the ! 2000	ure year data.  Medicaid Program.  Annual C  2001  60.3	Objective and Perfor 2002 60.3	mance Data 2003 61.7	2004 61.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of the con	not required for fut service paid by the I 2000 63.6 60.8	Medicaid Program.  Annual C  2001  60.3  60.9  2,636,340	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 60.3 61.7	mance Data 2003 61.7 70.9	2004 61.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of the con	2000 63.6 60.8 2,617,000 4,303,440	Medicaid Program.  Annual C  2001  60.3  60.9  2,636,340	2002 60.3 61.7 2,869,240	mance Data 2003 61.7 70.9 3,137,700	<b>2004</b> 61.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of pote	2000 63.6 60.8 2,617,000 4,303,440	wedicaid Program.  Annual C  2001  60.3  60.9  2,636,340  4,326,340	2002 60.3 61.7 2,869,240 4,650,840	70.9 3,137,700 4,425,540	<b>2004</b> 61. 70.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the second	2000 63.6 60.8 2,617,000 4,303,440	wedicaid Program.  Annual C  2001  60.3  60.9  2,636,340  4,326,340	2002 60.3 61.7 2,869,240	70.9 3,137,700 4,425,540	<b>2004</b> 61. 70.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Percent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of pote	2000 63.6 60.8 2,617,000 4,303,440	wedicaid Program.  Annual C  2001  60.3  60.9  2,636,340  4,326,340  Annual C	2002 60.3 61.7 2,869,240 4,650,840	70.9 3,137,700 4,425,540 Final	2004 61. 70. Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	not required for futures for f	Medicaid Program.  Annual C  2001  60.3  60.9  2,636,340  4,326,340  Annual C  2006  72.5	2002 60.3 61.7 2,869,240 4,650,840  20bjective and Perfor 2007 73	mance Data 2003  61.7  70.9  3,137,700  4,425,540  Final  mance Data 2008  73.5	2004 61. 70. Provisional 2009

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1
Annual Indicator	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1
Numerator	6,075	6,054	6,127	6,344	
Denominator	531,276	527,366	529,237	540,814	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	1
Annual Indicator					
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in only th	ne Objectives for the	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	I Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	I Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure year data.	<u>,                                      </u>		I Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16  ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for futured for futured fill for futured	ure year data.  Annual 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure year data.  Annual 2001 5.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.4	mance Data 2003 4.7	
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youth the ra	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 5.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.4 4.7	2003 4.7	2004
Numerator  Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16  The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 5.9 4.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.4 4.7 120	mance Data 2003 4.7	2004
Numerator  Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16  The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the company of the com	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 5.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.4 4.7 120	2003 4.7 5.0 131 2,617,630	2004 4
Numerator  Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16  The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) and suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youth	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 5.9 4.9	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.4 4.7 120	2003 4.7 5.0	2004
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 5.9 4.9 124 2,516,412	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.4 4.7 120	2003  4.7  5.0  131  2,617,630  Final	2004 4
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 5.9 4.9 124 2,516,412	Objective and Perfor 2002 5.4 4.7 120 2,560,575	2003  4.7  5.0  131  2,617,630  Final	2004 4
Numerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 5.9 4.9 124 2,516,412	Objective and Perfor 2002  5.4  4.7  120  2,560,575  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  4.7  5.0  131  2,617,630  Final	2004 4 Provisional
Rumerator Denominator  ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 he rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annual 2001 5.9 4.9 124 2,516,412  Annual 2006 4.7	Objective and Perfor 2002  5.4  4.7  120  2,560,575  Objective and Perfor 2007  4.7	2003  4.7  5.0  131  2,617,630  Final  2008  4.6	2004 4 Provisional 2009
Rumerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 The rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 through the rate (per 100,000) of suicide death	Please fill in only the not required for future of the not req	Annual 2001 5.9 4.9 124 2,516,412  Annual 2006 4.7	Objective and Perfor 2002  5.4  4.7  120  2,560,575  Objective and Perfor 2007	2003  4.7  5.0  131  2,617,630  Final  2008  4.6	2004  Provisional  2009

rcent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high-	isk deliveries and		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	rmanco Data			
	2000	2001	Allitual	2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	66.4		66.5	66.6		68.7		69.6
Annual Indicator	65.9		65.6	68.7		67.3		67.3
Numerator	4,029		3,969	3,911	3	3,970	1	
Denominator	6,111		6,054	5,697		5,900		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisiona	al
			Annual (	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data			
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Denominator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti	ves for the a	69.5 above years. Numera	tor, Denominat	70 or and A	Annual Indi	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti iture year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera			Annual Indio	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti uture year o	ves for the a	above years. Numera	rmance Data			
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti ture year of trimester.	ves for the a	above years. Numera			Annual Indie	cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti ature year c trimester.	ves for the a	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data	or and A		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for f ginning in the first 2000 84.5	the Objecti ture year c trimester.	ves for the a lata.  Annual C	Objective and Perfore 2002	rmance Data 2003	or and <i>I</i>		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 cent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti ature year of trimester.	Annual ( 85 85.4 442,937	Objective and Perfor 2002 85.9	rmance Data 2003 464	87.4 87.3		cators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti ature year of trimester.	Annual ( 85 85.4 442,937	Objective and Perfore 2002  85.9  86.5  448,955	rmance Data 2003 464	87.4 87.3 4,157		88. 87.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Tocent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti ature year of trimester.	Annual ( 85 85.4 442,937 518,894	Objective and Perfore 2002  85.9  86.5  448,955	7mance Data 2003 464 531 Final	87.4 87.3 4,157	2004	88. 87.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 Ident of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care be Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for f	the Objecti ature year of trimester.	Annual ( 85 85.4 442,937 518,894	2002  85.9  86.5  448,955  519,307	7mance Data 2003 464 531 Final	87.4 87.3 4,157	2004	88. 87.

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 1	. (			e P. d	2	
e percent of children whose family income is less than 200 percent	of the Federal Pove	•	•		iring the fiscal y	/ear.
	2000	2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	42.5	45.0	45	45		50
	42.7	41.1	38.3	36.7		36.
			1,744,626	1,821,315		
		4,412,824		4,966,062		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional	
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	rmance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	40	40	41	41		4
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicat	ors
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.				ors
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data. h (less than 2 years)		/ears), or overweight.		ors
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  e percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile	Please fill in only the not required for fut the of weight-for-length 2000	h (less than 2 years)  Annual 2001	or BMI-for-age (2-12 y Objective and Perfor 2002	/ears), or overweight.		ors
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  Percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut the of weight-for-length 2000 14.0	h (less than 2 years)  Annual 2001	or BMI-for-age (2-12 y Objective and Perfor 2002	/ears), or overweight.		
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 e percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut the of weight-for-length 2000 14.0	h (less than 2 years)  Annual 2001	or BMI-for-age (2-12 y Objective and Perfor 2002	years), or overweight.		1
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 e percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut of weight-for-length 2000 14.0	h (less than 2 years)  Annual 2001	or BMI-for-age (2-12 y  Objective and Perfor  2002  14  16.1	vears), or overweight. rmance Data 2003		1
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 e percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut of weight-for-length 2000    14.0   258,147.1	h (less than 2 years)  Annual 2001  14.0  17.2  208,376	or BMI-for-age (2-12 y  Objective and Perfor  2002  14  16.1	/ears), or overweight. rmance Data 2003 14 17.8		1
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  e percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut to required for fut to of weight-for-length 2000	h (less than 2 years)  Annual 2001  14.0  17.2  208,376	or BMI-for-age (2-12 y  Objective and Perfor  2002  14  16.1  207,872	vears), or overweight.  rmance Data 2003  14  17.8  214,040		1 17.
Numerator  Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2  e percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut to required for fut to of weight-for-length 2000	2001 14.0 208,376 1,214,543	or BMI-for-age (2-12 y  Objective and Perfor  2002  14  16.1  207,872  1,294,163	vears), or overweight.  rmance Data 2003  14  17.8  214,040  1,205,373  Final	2004	1
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 e percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut to required for fut to of weight-for-length 2000	2001 14.0 208,376 1,214,543	or BMI-for-age (2-12 y  Objective and Perfor  2002  14  16.1  207,872	vears), or overweight.  rmance Data 2003  14  17.8  214,040  1,205,373  Final	2004	1
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 2 e percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut to required for fut to required for fut to require for fut to r	2001  14.0  17.2  208,376  1,214,543  Annual  2006	or BMI-for-age (2-12 y  Objective and Perfor  2002  14  16.1  207,872  1,294,163  Objective and Perfor  2007	years), or overweight.  rmance Data 2003  14  17.8  214,040  1,205,373  Final	2004 Provisional	1

		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	1.9	2.0	2.1	1.6		1.
Annual Indicator	2.4	2.4	1.9	1.2		1.
Numerator	48	48	38	25		
Denominator	1,990,873	1,960,105	1,976,342	2,008,528		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional	
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data		
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	
Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for fut	1.1 ne Objectives for the aure year data.	1.1 above years. Numerat	1.1 tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicato	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the a ure year data.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicato	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the a ure year data.		tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicato	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4	Please fill in only the not required for fut so caused by homicical 2000	ne Objectives for the a ure year data. de.	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	2004	ors
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 year  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut so caused by homicical company and the source of the so	ne Objectives for the aure year data.  de.  Annual C 2001	Objective and Perfor	tor, Denominator and mance Data 2003	2004	ors
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 year  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut so caused by homicical 2000	de.  Annual C  2001  13.8	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004	ors
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 year  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut so caused by homicion 2000 11.9 13.2	de.  Annual C  2001  13.8	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 13.7	2004	ors
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 year  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut so caused by homicion 11.9 13.2 325 2,464,390	de.  Annual (  2001  13.8  12.9  325	Objective and Perfor 2002  13.5  13.3  341	mance Data 2003  13.7  14.2  371	2004	ors
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 year  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut so caused by homicion 11.9 13.2 325 2,464,390	Annual (2001 13.8 12.9 325 2,516,412	Objective and Perfor 2002  13.5  13.3  341	mance Data 2003  13.7  14.2  371  2,617,630  Final	2004	1. ors 13.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4 rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 year  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut so caused by homicion 11.9 13.2 325 2,464,390	Annual (2001 13.8 12.9 325 2,516,412	2002 13.5 13.3 341 2,560,575	mance Data 2003  13.7  14.2  371  2,617,630  Final	2004	ors

TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5								
e rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 years	s caused by motor	vehicle inju						
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	rmance Dar 2003	<u>ta</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			12.2	16	2003	20.7	2004	20.7
Annual Indicator			17.3	20.1		19.7		19.7
				515		516		13.
	317							
Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2,464,390		2,516,412	2,560,575	Final	,617,630	Provision	-1
is the Data Provisional or Final?					rmai		PIOVISION	al
			Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da	ta		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	19.5		19.5	19.5		19.5		19.
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for for	the Objectiv uture year d	ves for the a lata.	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indi	cators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only not required for for	uture year d	ata.					cators
Numerator	Please fill in only not required for for	uture year d	ata. s participatir		rth Defects	Monitoring		cators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only not required for for	uture year d	ata. s participatir	ng in the California Bi	rth Defects	Monitoring		cators
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6	Please fill in only not required for function for the second seco	ong counties	ata. s participatir	ng in the California Bi	rth Defects	Monitoring	g System.	
Numerator  Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) per 10,000 live births plu	Please fill in only not required for finds s fetal deaths amount 2000	ong counties	s participatir  Annual C	ng in the California Bi Dbjective and Perfor 2002	rth Defects	Monitorinç ta	g System.	
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) per 10,000 live births plu  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for finds s fetal deaths amount 2000	ong counties	s participatir  Annual C  6.7  5.4	ng in the California Bi Dbjective and Perfor 2002 6.5 7.7	rth Defects	Monitorino ta 7	g System.	
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) per 10,000 live births plu  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for functions of the second seco	ong counties	s participatir  Annual C  6.7  5.4  32	ng in the California Bi Dbjective and Perfor 2002 6.5 7.7	rth Defects	Monitoring ta 7 7.7	g System.	
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) per 10,000 live births plu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only not required for functions of the second seco	ong counties	s participatir  Annual C  6.7  5.4  32	ng in the California Bi Dipjective and Perfor 2002 6.5 7.7 47	rth Defects	Monitoring  ta  7  7.7  49	g System.	7.
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) per 10,000 live births plu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only not required for functions of the second seco	ong counties	s participatir  Annual C  6.7  5.4  32  59,027	ng in the California Bi Dispective and Perfor 2002 6.5 7.7 47 60,937	rth Defects rmance Dat 2003 Final	Monitoring ta  7 7.7 49 63,305	g System. 2004	7.
Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) per 10,000 live births plu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only not required for functions of the second seco	ong counties	s participatir  Annual C  6.7  5.4  32  59,027	ng in the California Bi Dipjective and Perfor 2002 6.5 7.7 47	rth Defects rmance Dat 2003 Final	Monitoring ta  7 7.7 49 63,305	g System. 2004	7.
Numerator Denominator  TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6 e incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) per 10,000 live births plu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only not required for functions of the second seco	ong counties 2001	s participatir  Annual C  6.7  5.4  32  59,027	ng in the California Bi Dispective and Perfor 2002 6.5 7.7 47 60,937	rmance Date 2003  Final	7 7.7 49 63,305	g System.  2004  Provision:	7.

e percent of California Children's Services (CCS) enrolled children	registered in Civis	,				,	
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfo	2003	200	4
Annual Performance Objective	48.0		29.0	34.0		45	5
	23.3		36.3	41.6	5	0.5	55.
	33,969		57,212	68,911	87,	083	95,10
	145,717		157,610		172,	340	172,51
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final	Fina	al
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	ormance Data		
	2005	2006		2007	2008	200	9
Denominato	Please fill in only not required for fu	4h - Ohio -4i	100 ives for the a data.	100 above years. Numera		r and Annua	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objecti iture year o	ives for the adata.	above years. Numer	ator, Denominato		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8	Please fill in only not required for fu	the Objecti ture year o	ives for the adata.	above years. Numera	ator, Denominato	r and Annua	al Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 expercent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner ph	Please fill in only not required for function for the plant in the company control of the contro	past 12 m	ives for the adata.	above years. Numer	ermance Data		al Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 e percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner ph	Please fill in only not required for function for functio	past 12 m	onths.  5.6	Objective and Perfo	etor, Denominato  ormance Data  2003	r and Annua	al Indicators  4
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 expercent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner ph  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only not required for function for sequence of the sequence of	past 12 m	onths.  5.6	Objective and Perfo 2002 5.5	ormance Data	200 5.5	al Indicators  4 5
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 e percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner ph  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for function for functio	the Objectiture year of past 12 m	onths.  Solution of the analysis of the analys	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 5.5 5.0 492,882	ormance Data 2003	200 5.5 5.0	4 5. 629,38
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 e percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner ph  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only not required for for equired for for equired for for equired abuse in the 2000 5.9 5.9 611,127 10,356,460	the Objectiture year of past 12 m	onths.  Annual C  5.6  5.7  568,216	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 5.5 5.0 492,882	ormance Data 2003	200 5.5 5.0	4 5. 629,39 11,121,43
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 expercent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner phase phas	Please fill in only not required for for equired for for equired for for equired abuse in the 2000 5.9 5.9 611,127 10,356,460	the Objectiture year of past 12 m	onths.  Annual C  5.6  5.7  568,216  9,981,232	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 5.5 5.0 492,882	ormance Data 2003  492, 9,791, Final	200 5.5 5.0 882	4 5. 629,39 11,121,43
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 e percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner ph  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only not required for for equired for for equired for for equired abuse in the 2000 5.9 5.9 611,127 10,356,460	the Objectiture year of past 12 m	onths.  Annual C  5.6  5.7  568,216  9,981,232	Dbjective and Perfo 2002 5.5 5.0 492,882 9,791,029	ormance Data 2003  492, 9,791, Final	200 5.5 5.0 882	4 5. 5. 629,39 11,121,43

		Annual C	<b>Objective and Perfor</b>	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	8.7	7.0	5.9	4.5	4.4
Annual Indicator	7.1	5.9	4.6	5.8	5.8
Numerator	207,070	176,813	143,336	188,000	
Denominator	2,916,475	2,996,832	3,116,003	3,260,000	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.3

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 11

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Genetic Disease Branch, 2002 Newborn Screening Records.

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Genetic Disease Branch, 2003 Newborn Screening Records.

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is new for FY 2002. It is the percent of CSHCN age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision-making at all levels and are satisfied with the services

Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 indicator is pre-populated from 2002 and is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure, new for FY 2002, is the percent of CSHCN age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision-making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they

receive

Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 indicator is pre-populated from 2002 and is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision-making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN in the State age 0 to 18 years who receive coordinated, ongoing, comprehensive care within a medical home. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of

Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure and is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN in the State age 0 to 18 years who receive coordinated, ongoing, comprehensive care within a medical home. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3 9.

Field Name: PM03 **Row Name:** 

Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2004 for this performance measure and is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN in the State age 0 to 18 years who receive coordinated, ongoing, comprehensive care within a medical home. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN age 0 to 18 years whose families have adequate private and/or public insurance to pay for the services they need. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 indicator is pre-populated from 2002 and is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN age 0 to 18 years whose families have adequate private and/or public insurance to pay for the services they need. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 indicator is pre-populated from 2002 and is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN age 0 to 18 years whose families have adequate private and/or public insurance to pay for the services they need. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN age 0 to 18 years whose families report the community-based service systems are organized so they can use them easily. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The 2003 indicator is pre-populated from 2002 and is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN age 0 to 18 years whose families report the community-based service systems are organized so they can use them easily. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The 2004 indicator is pre-populated from 2002 and is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

This measure is the percent of CSHCN age 0 to 18 years whose families report the community-based service systems are organized so they can use them easily. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of youth with special health care needs in the country who receive the services necessary to make transitions to all aspects of adult life. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State value noted.

value noted

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

This measure is the percent of youth with special health care needs in the country who receive the services necessary to make transitions to all aspects of adult life. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State value noted.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2004 for this performance measure.

This measure is the percent of youth with special health care needs in the country who receive the services necessary to make transitions to all aspects of adult life. Source of Data is Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics, State and Local Area Integrated Telephone Survey, National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs, 2001.

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State value noted.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source of percent immunized: National Immunization Survey: 2002 data source on the web at: http://www.cdc.gov/nip/coverage/NIS/01/tab3\_antigen\_state.xls.

Data for the 4:3:1:3 series used.

Denominator: The number of two-year olds in the given year from the Department of Finance figures, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 1970-2040.

Sacramento, California, December, 1998. http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/Race.htm.

Numerators are estimates "derived" by multiplying the percent (4:3:1:3) of immunized children by the denominator.

Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source of percent immunized: National Immunization Survey: 2003 data source on the web at the URL: http://www.cdc.gov/nip/coverage/NIS/03/tab02\_antigen\_iap.xls.

Data for the 4:3:1:3:3 series used.

Denominator: The number of two-year olds in the given year is from the Department of Finance figures, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050.

Sacramento, California, May 2004. http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Numerators are estimates "derived" by multiplying the percent of immunized children by the denominator.

Note: This is a new data source and comparisons should not be made with data from previous years. Data for 2000-2002 reported based upon 4:3:1:3 immunization

scehdule.

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations by place of residence.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations by place of residence.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt.

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name:

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: The dental sealant percentage is an unweighted average calculated by the Maternal and Child Health Branch. The data are from the California Department of Health Services, Medical Care Statistics Section: data for eligibles (denominator) and recipients (ages 7 through 8) who received a sealant on at least one permanent tooth during the calendar year 2002 (numerator). Delta Dental Plan of California provided data on the percentage of enrollees ages 7 through 8 who received a sealant on at least one permanent tooth during the calendar year 2002. The numerators are estimates derived by multiplying the percent by the denominator.

Denominator: State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 1970-2040. Sacramento, California. December 1998.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/Race.htm. (Estimated population of relevant child age group)

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerator: Based on unweighted, interim results from a not-yet-completed survey of a representative sample of elementary schools in California, 2005. Dental sealant information is based on a one-minute, non-invasive oral health screening of all third graders in selected schools using protocols from the Association of State and Territorial

Denominator: CA Department of Finance projection of 8-year olds in 2005, multiplied by the preliminary percentage of 3rd graders with identified dental sealants as determined by the 2005 Oral Health Needs Assessment of Children (OHNA).

Note: This is a new data source and comparisons should not be made with data from previous years.

### Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Numerator: Based on unweighted, interim results from a not-yet-completed survey of a representative sample of elementary schools in California, 2005. Dental sealant information is based on a one-minute, non-invasive oral health screening of all third graders in selected schools using protocols from the Association of State and Territorial

Denominator: CA Department of Finance projection of 8-year olds in 2005, multiplied by the preliminary percentage of 3rd graders with identified dental sealants as determined by the 2005 Oral Health Needs Assessment of Children (OHNA).

Note: This is a new data source and comparisons should not be made with data from 2002 or earlier.

Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File, "Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10" as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Injury Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

29. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File. "Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10" as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt.

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census

### Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

### 31. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Genetic Disease Branch, California Newborn Screening Form, 2002. Tabulations were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch. The year of birth is used instead of the process year.

### 32. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Genetic Disease Branch, California Newborn Screening Form, 2003. Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. The year of birth is used instead of the process year. Data represent the percent of women who exclusively breastfed their infants while in the hospital after giving

### 33. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Measure based on hospitals carrying out universal newborn hearing screening in California. This measure is the percent of newborns who have been screened for hearing

Source: Numerator and denominator data are from the State of California, Department of Health Services, Office of Vital Records, birth certificate data.

Numerator: Number of newborns who have been screened for hearing before discharge for FY 2002.

Denominator: Number of live births by occurrence in California in FY 2002.

35. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Measure based on hospitals carrying out universal newborn hearing screening in California. This measure is the percent of newborns who have been screened for hearing before hospital discharge.

Source: Numerator and denominator data are from the State of California, Department of Health Services, Office of Vital Records, birth certificate data.

Numerator: Number of newborns who have been screened for hearing before discharge for FY 2003.

Denominator: Number of live births by occurrence in California in FY 2003.

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A Manual indicator is provided based on FY 2003 results.

37. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: The percent of uninsured children (age 0-18) is from the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) Center for Health Policy Research and based on Current Population Survey 2003.

Numerator: an estimate derived by multiplying the percent uninsured by the denominator.

Denominator: State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 1970-2040. Sacramento, California. December 1998. http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/Race.htm. Tabulations were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch.

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: The percent of uninsured children (age 0-18) is from the Kaiser Commission on Medicaid and the Uninsured and Urban Institute analysis of the 2004 March Current Population Survey.

Numerator: Derived by multiplying the percent uninsured by the denominator.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt.

39. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

40. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Sources: California Department of Health Services, Medical Care Statistics Section compiled data to duplicate HCFA-2082 report for eligibles and recipients. Numerator: A five percent sample of unduplicated counts of Medi-Cal recipients ages 20 and under during October 2001 through September 2002 was used to estimate the number of clients that received a service. This estimate may not include all Medi-Cal managed care data because, at the time of the run, the tape may not have included all managed care reports. Denominator: Medical Care Statistics Section special run to estimate the monthly average number of Medi-Cal enrollees aged 20 and under during October 2001 through September 2002. The number of children eligible but not enrolled in Medi-Cal was obtained from a report from the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, Health Policy Fact Sheet: "Number of Uninsured Californians Declines to 6.2 million" (March, 2002) that used data from the 2001 Current Population Survey. This was the same estimate used last year because no new estimate was available this year. The denominator was the total of the estimated number of enrollees plus the estimated number of eligible but not enrolled in Medi-Cal.

41. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Sources: California Department of Health Services, Medical Care Statistics Section compiled data to duplicate HCFA-2082 report for eligibles and recipients. Numerator: A five percent sample of unduplicated counts of Medi-Cal recipients ages 20 and under during October 2002 through September 2003 was used to estimate the number of clients that received a service. This estimate may not include all Medi-Cal managed care data because, at the time of the run, the tape may not have included all managed care reports. Denominator: Medical Care Statistics Section special run to estimate the monthly average number of Medi-Cal enrollees aged 20 and under during October 2002 through September 2003. The number of children eligible but not enrolled in Medi-Cal was obtained from the California Health Interview Survey, 2003 (http://www.chis.ucla.edu/main/DQ2/geographic.asp)

The denominator was the total of the estimated number of enrollees plus the estimated number of eligible but not enrolled in Medi-Cal.

Note: A new data source was used for the estimated number of children eligible but not enrolled in Medi-Cal. Data from previous years should not be compared to 2003

data.

Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

43. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Observations with missing values were subtracted from the denominator when calculating the percentages.

44. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Observations with missing values were subtracted from the denominator when calculating the percentages.

45. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

46. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File. Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10" as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Injury Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

47. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File. Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10" as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt.

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

48. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The proportions are considered conservative. They are based on the proportion of facilities designated by California Children's Services (CCS) as Regional or Community NICUs. Not all facilities that provide care appropriate for very low birth weight infants seek CCS designation. The Perinatal Facility Interviews conducted in 1995-96 found that 81% of very low birth weight infants were born in CCS-designated Regional and Community NICU facilities or facilities with equivalent levels of care (based on 305 facilities). Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File and California Children Services, Approved Hospitals for NICUs (1999-2002) and other communications with CCS for 2002 data. Tabulations, by place of occurrence, were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch

50. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The proportions are considered conservative. They are based on the proportion of facilities designated by California Children's Services (CCS) as Regional or Community NICUs. Not all facilities that provide care appropriate for very low birth weight infants seek CCS designation. The Perinatal Facility Interviews conducted in 1995-96 found that 81% of very low birth weight infants were born in CCS-designated Regional and Community NICU facilities with equivalent levels of care (based on 305 facilities). Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File and California Children Services, Approved Hospitals for NICUs (1999-2003) and other communications with CCS for 2003 data. Tabulations, by place of occurrence, were done by the MCAH/OFP

Branch.

Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003 data.

52. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Cases in which the time of the first prenatal visit was unknown were excluded.

53. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by MCAH/OFP Branch. Cases in which the time of the first prenatal visit was unknown were excluded.

Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003 data.

55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of children whose family income is less than 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) who received at least one CHDP health assessment during the reporting year.

Source: CHDP program data and California census data.

Numerator is the number of children up to age 21 years who received at least one health assessment, as documented on the CHDP billing and reporting PM 160 Form for FY 2001-2002

Denominator is the number of children up to age 21 years whose family income is less than 200 % of FPL for FY 2001-2002.

This measure as scored does not include health assessments given through the Healthy Families program, since this data is not yet available. Also Medi-Cal Managed Care plans are not consistently completing the PM 160 form, as this is an "information only" form for the plans.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003

This measure is the percent of children whose family income is less than 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Level (FPL) who received at least one CHDP health

assessment during the reporting year.

Source: CHDP program data and California census data.

Numerator is the number of children up to age 21 years who received at least one health assessment, as documented on the CHDP billing and reporting PM 160 Form for

Denominator is the number of children up to age 21 years whose family income is less than 200 % of FPL for FY 2002-2003.

This measure as scored does not include health assessments given through the Healthy Families program, since this data is not yet available. Also Medi-Cal Managed Care plans are not consistently completing the PM 160 form, as this is an "information only" form for the plans.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of low-income children under 12 years of age who are enrolled in the CHDP program and who are above the 95th percentile of weight-for-length (<2 years) or BMI-for-age (32 years), or overweight. Source is the Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PEDNSS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Numerator is the unduplicated number of children under 12 years of age enrolled in the CHDP program with weight-for-length or BMI derived from

the PM 160 form for FY 2001 that was above the 95th percentile for age.

Denominator is the unduplicated number of children under 12 years of age enrolled in the CHDP program with a weight and length/height measurement indicated on the PM

Note: For FY 2001, the new CDC growth charts were used, and the CDC unduplicated the data. Results are more accurate but cannot be compared with prior years.

59. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of low-income children under 12 years of age who are enrolled in the CHDP program and who are above the 95th percentile of weight-for-length

(<2 years) or BMI-for-age (32 years), or overweight. Source is the Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PEDNSS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Numerator is the unduplicated number of children under 12 years of age enrolled in the CHDP program with weight-for-length or BMI derived from

the PM 160 form for FY 2002-03 that was above the 95th percentile for age. Denominator is the unduplicated number of children under 12 years of age enrolled in the CHDP program with a weight and length/height measurement indicated on the PM 160 form for FY 2002-2003.

Note: For FY 2000-01, the new CDC growth charts were used, and the CDC unduplicated the data. Results are more accurate but cannot be compared with prior years.

60. Section Number: State Performance Measure #2

Field Name: SM2 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

61. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

### 62. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

63. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

64. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

65. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File. "Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10" as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census

66. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

67. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File. "Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10" as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Injury Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch Branch.

68. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File. "Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10" as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

69. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

70. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, 2002 California Birth Defects Monitoring Program Registry (CBDMP). The number of counties included in the registry was reduced beginning in 1998. Data since 1998 are from eight counties in the Central Valley. An analysis carried out by CBDMP of the neural tube defect incidence data from 1991-97 suggests the comparability of the 8 county sample with the larger sample used through 1997. The eight counties were deemed sufficient by CBDMP for surveillance purposes in this state.

Numerator: Confirmed cases of NTD in fetal death plus live births reported to monitored counties.

Denominator: Fetal deaths plus live births among counties participating in California Birth Defects Monitoring Program Registry.

71. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, 2003 California Birth Defects Monitoring Program Registry (CBDMP). The number of counties included in the registry was reduced beginning in 1998. Data since 1998 are from eight counties in the Central Valley. An analysis carried out by CBDMP of the neural tube defect incidence data from 1991-97 suggests the comparability of the 8 county sample with the larger sample used through 1997. The eight counties were deemed sufficient by CBDMP for surveillance purposes in this state.

Numerator: Confirmed cases of NTD in fetal death plus live births reported to monitored counties.

Denominator: Fetal deaths plus live births among counties participating in California Birth Defects Monitoring Program Registry.

72. Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

73. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of CCS enrolled children under 21 years of age registered in CMS Net.

Source is CMS Net and CCS paid claims and program data for the reporting year.

Numerator is the number of CCS enrolled children under 21 years of age registered in the CMS Net system for FY 2002.

Denominator is the total number of CCS enrolled children under 21 years of age for FY 2002.

74. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of CCS enrolled children under 21 years of age registered in CMS Net.

Source is CMS Net and CCS paid claims and program data for the reporting year.

Numerator is the number of CCS enrolled children under 21 years of age registered in the CMS Net system for FY 2002-03.

Denominator is the total number of CCS enrolled children under 21 years of age for FY 2002-03.

75. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of CCS enrolled children under 21 years of age registered in CMS Net.

Source is CMS Net and CCS paid claims and program data for the reporting year.

Numerator is the number of CCS enrolled children under 21 years of age registered in the CMS Net system for FY 2003-04.

Denominator is the total number of CCS enrolled children under 21 years of age for FY 2003-04.

76. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Sources: State of California, Department of Health Services, California Women's Health Survey (CWHS), 2003. The percent refers to the percent of women in the survey who were 18 years or older and reported intimate partner physical abuse in the past 12 months, weighted to the 1990 census data. Some forms of physical abuse were condensed from separate questions in 1999 to a single question in 2000, 2001 and 2003.

Data from 2003 are presented for both 2002 and 2003 because the questions used in 2002 were not comparable to those used in other years. Also, rates of intimate partner violence were recalculated for all past years. In this recalculation, the denominator was redefined to exclude all respondents who either refused to answer or had missing data for all intimate partner violence questions.

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

### 77. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Sources: State of California, Department of Health Services, California Women's Health Survey (CWHS), 2003. The percent refers to the percent of women in the survey who were 18 years or older and reported intimate partner physical abuse in the past 12 months, weighted to the 1990 census data. Some forms of physical abuse were condensed from separate questions in 1999 to a single question in 2000, 2001 and 2003.

Data from 2003 are presented for both 2002 and 2003 because the questions used in 2002 were not comparable to those used in other years. Also, rates of intimate partner violence were recalculated for all past years. In this recalculation, the denominator was redefined to exclude all respondents who either refused to answer or had missing data for all intimate partner violence questions.

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

### 78. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Sources: State of California, Department of Health Services, California Women's Health Survey (CWHS), 2004. The percent refers to the percent of women in the survey who were 18 years or older and reported intimate partner physical abuse in the past 12 months, weighted to the 2000 census data. Some forms of physical abuse were condensed from separate questions in 1999 to a single question in 2000, 2001 and 2003 and 2004.

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

### 79. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: California Department of Health Services, Division of Chronic Disease and Injury Control, Cancer Control Branch, Tobacco Control Section, percent of youths 12-17 who report having smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days (year 2002). The prevalence numbers are an estimate from random digit dial telephone survey results. Denominator: State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 1970-2040. Sacramento, California. December 1998. http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/Race.htm.

Numerator is an estimate derived by multiplying the percent by the denominator. Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

### 80. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Percent of adolescents age 12-17 years of age who report smoking cigarettes in the past 30 days. 2003 California Health Interview Survey. UCLA Center for Health Policy Research. Also available at: http://www.chis.ucla.edu. Last accessed on February 24, 2005.

### 81. Section Number: State Performance Measure #9

Field Name: SM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

# FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: CA

	0.7.12	0/1						
OUTCOME MEASURE # 01								
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmance D	ata		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	5.3		5.2	5.2		5.4		5.4
Annual Indicator	5.4		5.3	5.4		5.2		5.2
Numerator	2,884		2,815	2,875		2,819		
Denominator	531,285		527,371	529,245		540,827		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,		_		Final		Provisiona	al
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo		<u>ata</u>		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	5.2		5.2	5.2		5.1		5.
Annual Indicator	r							
Numerator	Please fill in only t	he Objectiv	es for the a	above years. Numera	ator, Denor	minator and	Annual Indic	ators
Numerator	not required for fut	ture year da	ata.					
Denominator	ſ							
OUTCOME MEASURE # 02								
The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality	rato							
The fallo of the black illiant mortality fale to the write illiant mortality	rate.		Ammuel C	Shipative and Dorfe		-1-		
	2000	2001	Annual C	Objective and Perfo 2002	2003	<u>ata</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective		2001	2.7	2.6		2.4	2004	2.4
	2.7					2.7		2.7
			12.8			12.3		۷.,
	12.9			12.6				
Denominator			4.9	5.1		4.5		_
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?				Final		Provisiona	al
			Annual C	Objective and Perfo	rmanco D	ata		
	2005	2006	Alliluar	2007	2008	<u>ata</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	2.6		2.5	2.5		2.5		2.5
Annual Indicator	,				<u> </u>			
Numerator	Please fill in only t			above years. Numera	ator, Denor	minator and	Annual Indic	ators a
	not required 101 ful	ure year da	ala.					

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03 The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.					
The Heofidian Hortany rate per 1,000 in 6 bittle.		Annua	I Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Annual Indicator	_	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.5
	1,938	1,872	1,908	1,890	
Denominator		527,371	529,245	540,827	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		· ·		Final	Provisional
		<u>Annua</u>	I Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Annual Indicator					
Annual indicator					
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Objectives for the	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	e above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ure yéar data.	e above years. Numera		Annual Indicators :
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data.  Annua 2001			Annual Indicators a
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data.  Annua 2001	I Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.  Annua 2001	I Objective and Perfor 2002 7 1.7	rmance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 1.8	I Objective and Perfor 2002 7 1.7 3 1.8	rmance Data 2003 1.7	
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Annua 2001 1.7	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 7 1.7 8 1.8 6 953	2003 1.7	2004
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000  1.7  1.7  927  531,285	Annua 2001 1.7	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 7 1.7 3 1.8 6 953	2003 1.7 1.7 910	2004
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000  1.7  1.7  927  531,285	Annua 2001  1.7  1.8  926  527,374	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 7 1.7 8 1.8 6 953 529,245	1.7 2003 1.7 1.7 910 540,827	2004 1.1
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 1.7 27 531,285	Annua 2001 1.7 1.8 926 527,374	Objective and Perfor 2002   1.7     1.8     953     529,245     Objective and Perfor 2002     Objective 2002     Objective and Perfor 2002     Objective 2002	1.7 2003 1.7 1.7 910 540,827 Final	2004 1.7 1.7 Provisional
Numerator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.7 1.7 927 531,285	Annua 2001 1.7 1.8 926 527,374 Annua 2006	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 7	1.7 2003 1.7 1.7 910 540,827 Final	2004 1.7 1.7 Provisional
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective	2000  1.7  1.7  927  531,285  2005  1.6	Annua 2001 1.7 1.8 926 527,374 Annua 2006	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 7	1.7 2003 1.7 1.7 910 540,827 Final	2004 1.7 1.7 Provisional
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 1.7 1.7 927 531,285	Annua 2001 1.7 1.8 926 527,371 Annua 2006 1.6	1 Objective and Perfor 2002 7	Tmance Data 2003  1.7  1.7  910  540,827  Final  Tmance Data 2008  1.6	2004 1. 1. Provisional 2009

Denominator

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		7.9	5.5	5.6	5.6
Annual Indicator	5.9	5.6	5.7	5.5	5.5
Numerator	3,121	2,969	2,999	2,992	
Denominator	532,885	528,866	530,708	542,321	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.4	5.
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.	Dbjective and Perfor		Annual Indicators  2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data. Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
UTCOME MEASURE # 06  The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective	2000	Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b>
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective	2000 16.4 19.2	Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 16.2	mance Data 2003 16.2	<b>2004</b>
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 16.4 19.2 1,402	Annual ( 2001 16.9 17.9	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 16.2 17.8 1,316	mance Data 2003 16.2 18.8	<b>2004</b>
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 16.4 19.2 1,402 7,301,399	Annual ( 2001 16.9	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 16.2 17.8 1,316	mance Data 2003  16.2  18.8  1,397	2004
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 16.4 19.2 1,402 7,301,399	Annual ( 2001 16.9 17.9 1,315 7,337,432	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 16.2 17.8 1,316 7,388,648	mance Data 2003  16.2  18.8  1,397  7,429,350  Final	2004 1 18.
PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 16.4 19.2 1,402 7,301,399	Annual ( 2001 16.9 17.9 1,315 7,337,432	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 16.2 17.8 1,316	mance Data 2003  16.2  18.8  1,397  7,429,350  Final	2004 11 18.
UTCOME MEASURE # 06 ne child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 16.4 19.2 1,402 7,301,399	Annual C 2001  16.9  17.9  1,315  7,337,432	Dbjective and Perfor 2002  16.2  17.8  1,316  7,388,648  Dbjective and Perfor	mance Data 2003  16.2  18.8  1,397  7,429,350  Final	2004 1 18. Provisional
DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 16.4 19.2 1,402 7,301,399 2005	Annual (2006 2006 18.2	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 16.2 17.8 1,316 7,388,648 Dbjective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003  16.2  18.8  1,397  7,429,350  Final  mance Data 2008	2004 1 18. Provisional 2009

Denominator

		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	8.0	7.3	7.8	10.4	10.3
Annual Indicator	11.1	10.6	10.6	15.2	15.2
Numerator	. 59	56	56	82	
Denominator	531,285	527,371	529,245	540,827	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Final	Provisional
		Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
		11.2	10.8	10.4	10

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 12

None

### **FIELD LEVEL NOTES**

1. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Denominator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

2. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Denominator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

3. Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data from the Birth and Death Statistical Master Files were analyzed by using the primary code of race/ethnicity (code 1).

Numerator(s): State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Denominator(s): State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

5. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data from the Birth and Death Statistical Master Files were analyzed by using the primary code of race/ethnicity (code 1).

Numerator(s): State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Denominator(s): State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

6. Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

7. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Denominator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

8. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Denominator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

9. Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

10. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name:

Year: 2002 Field Note:

Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Denominator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Denominator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch

12. Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

13. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Fetal Death Tapes (by place of residence), and California Death Statistical Master File (by place of residence).

Denominator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

14. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Fetal Death File and 2003 California Death Statistical Master

Denominator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File and 2003 California Fetal Death File. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

15. Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

16. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Sources: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

17. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Sources: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File by place of residence.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004. http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt. Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

18. Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

19. Section Number: State Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: SO1 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File. Denominators: State of California. Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File. Groups cause of death codes XV Pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium (261-273). Tabulations were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch.

20. Section Number: State Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: SO1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File. Denominators: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Groups cause of death codes XV Pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium (261-273). Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

21. Section Number: State Outcome Measure 1 Field Name: SO1

Field Name: SC Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: CA 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 1 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 1 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 3 Total Score: 13 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 1 = Partially Met 1 = Partially Met

3 = Completely Met

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 13

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

## FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

STATE: CA FY: 2006

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase ,list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Enhance preconception care and work toward eliminating disparities in infant and maternal morbidity and mortality.
- 2. Promote healthy lifestyle practices among MCAH populations and reduce the rate of overweight children and adolescents.
- 3. Promote responsible sexual behavior in order to decrease the rate of teenage pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections.
- 4. Improve mental health and decrease substance abuse among children, adolescents, and pregnant or parenting women.
- 5. Coordinate to develop and implement a system of timely referral between mental health, developmental services, social services, special education services and CCS.
- 6. Improve access to medical and dental services, including the reduction of disparities.
- 7. Expand the number of qualified providers participating in the CCS program, e.g., medical specialists, audiologists, occupational and physical therapists, and nutritionists.
- 8. Increase the number of family-centered medical homes for CSHCN and the number/percent of CCS children who have a designated medical home.
- 9. Decrease unintentional and intentional injuries and violence, including family and intimate partner violence.
- 10. Increase breastfeeding initiation and duration.

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14

These are the new priority needs based on the results from the 2006-2010 needs assessment.

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: CA APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known)
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Methodological training for new and junior research staff, including 1) epidemiologic methods for analysis of maternal, child, and adolescent health, and 2) conducting cost-effectiveness analyses for Title V programs.	To aid in analysis of California's	(max 250 characters)  Unknown
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Assistance in the development of systems for identifying, reviewing, and analyzing maternal morbidity that will serve as a framework for improved maternal standards of care.	Assistance is requested in study design, case selection, medical record review protocols, guidance on whether cases are pregnancy-related or pregnancy-associated, and development of recommendations to reduce mortality based on findings.	CDC
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Assistance in how to obtain additional youth input into decision-making for the MCAH/OFP Branch and its adolescent-related programs.	The Branch currently does not have sufficient manpower to carry out this activity, but would like to include more youth input into our decision-making process.	Unknown
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Training on consumer/family involvement in the needs assessment and other Title V activities at both the state and local level.	This is a Title V requirement and the Branch has been asked to be more proactive in including families.	HRSA-MCHB
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Evaluation of clinical outcomes.	CMS Branch has embarked on a quality initiative to assure children receive appropriate resources. CMS staff need to acquire skills to develop and evaluate appropriate outcome and performance measures for clinical practice.	Unknown
	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Strategic planning and facilitation.	Title V Needs Assessment process requires development of strategies and activities as next steps. CMS needs core group of staff trained in strategic planning and facilitation techniques.	Unknown
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 15

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

None

### **FORM 16** STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: CA

SP#

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of children whose family income is less than 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Level who received at least

one preventive medical exam during the fiscal year.

STATUS: Active

GOAL All low-income children will have a primary care provider to meet their preventive, acute, and chronic health care needs.

**DEFINITION** 

Number of children who have received at least one preventive medical examination as measured by the Child Health &

Disability Prevention Program health assessment exam (PM 160 data) .

Denominator:

Number of children whose family income is less than 200 percent of the federal poverty level.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

California census data, CHDP/PM 160 form data. The necessary data are not available from Healthy Families.

Annual preventive health examinations are an ideal method for the recognition and early intervention of all medical problems. Most children without health coverage come from low-income families and, as a result, receive health examinations at a significantly lower rate than children of higher economic levels. Annual health examinations allow for treatment and referral of acute and chronic health care needs and provide for early identification of CSHCN. CMS coordinates and implements cooperative agreements at the state and local level for information, referral, and assistance for families to enroll in programs such as Medi-Cal, Healthy Families, and WIC to improve access to primary care services for children included in this Performance Measure.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile of weight-for-length (less than 2 years) or BMI-for-

age (2-12 years), or overweight.

STATUS:

Active

GOAL DEFINITION

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

To reduce the prevalence of overweight among California's youth through the adoption of healthier lifestyle practices.

The percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile of weight-for-length (less than 2 years) or BMI-for-

age (2-12 years), or overweight.

Numerator:

Number of children age 0-12 years served in the Child Health and Disability Prevention program with a weight-for-length

(less than 2 years) or a BMI-for-age (2-12 years) above the 95th percentile.

Denominator:

The number of children age 0-12 years in the Child Health and Disability Prevention program with a weight and

length/height measurement indicated on the PM160 form.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Beginning with FY 2001, data have

been updated to utilize the 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles and CDC has unduplicated the data.

California's concern with pediatric overweight is related to the association of childhood weight problems with adult overweight and obesity, and the increased risk of a number of chronic diseases, such as high blood pressure, Type II diabetes, stroke, and heart disease. In addition, childhood overweight serves as a sentinel event for broader issues of

unhealthy lifestyle practices.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The rate of deaths per 100,000 children aged 1 through 4 years caused by drowning in swimming pools.

STATUS: Active

GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

To reduce the rate of drowning deaths of children aged 1 through 4 years caused by drowning in swimming pools.

Numerator:

Number of deaths to children aged 1 through 4 years caused by drowning in swimming pools. This excludes drowning in

bathtubs, buckets, and natural bodies of water.

Denominator:

All children in the State aged 1 through 4 years. Units: 100000 Text: rate per hundred thousand

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

ICD10 codes used for the numerator are W67 and W68. California Department of Finance for denominator data.

Drowning is a leading cause of injury death to toddlers and preschool-age children (ages 1 through 4 years) in California and has been for the last decade. In 1994, 74 (3.1 per 100,000) children aged 1-4 years drowned in swimming pools and 305 (13.0 per 100,000) were victims of near-drowning. The majority of drowning deaths (approximately 60%) in this age group occur in residential swimming pools.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by homicide.

STATUS: Active

To reduce the rate of deaths to adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by homicide. GOAL

**DEFINITION** The rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by homicide.

**Numerator:** Number of deaths to adolescents aged 15-19 years caused by homicide.

Denominator:

All adolescents in the State aged 15-19 years.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Vital Statistics Death Records for numerator data. California Department of Finance for denominator data.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Homicide is a leading cause of death for California children and adolescents. In addition, it serves as a sentinel event in the wider problem of adolescent violence in the State. In California, in 1996, 691 children less than 19 years of age died from homicide. Seventy-five percent (n=521) of these homicides were to victims aged 15-19 years old for a homicide rate of 24.7 per 100,000. With 20.5 deaths for every 100 hospitalizations, attempted homicide is three times more lethal than all other injuries in California (1994 data). In 1994, gunshots caused 90 percent of the homicides among youths aged 16-20 years.

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by motor vehicle injuries.

STATUS: Active

To reduce the number and rate of deaths to adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by motor vehicle injuries. GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:**Number of deaths to adolescents aged 15-19 years caused by motor vehicle injuries.

Denominator:

All adolescents in the State aged 15-19 years. Units: 100000 Text: rate per hundred thousand

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Vital Statistics Death Records for numerator data. California Department of Finance for denominator data.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Motor vehicle injuries are the second leading cause of death for California adolescents. In California, in 1996, 666 youths aged 19 years and under died from motor vehicle injuries. The motor vehicle deaths among children aged 19 in the same of the s

The incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) per 10,000 live births plus fetal deaths among counties participating in the PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

California Birth Defects Monitoring System.

STATUS:

GOAL To reduce the incidence of NTDs in California.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of NTDs among fetal deaths and live births in counties monitored by the California Birth Defects Monitoring System.

Denominator:

All fetal deaths plus live births in monitored counties.

Units: 10000 Text: rate per ten thousand

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

California Birth Defects Monitoring Program Registry Data. The incidence of NTDs in monitored counties is believed to be **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

representative of the State's diverse population.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

There are two types of neural tube defects: spina bifida (open spinal cord) which causes lifelong physical disabilities and anencephaly (absence of the brain) which leads to infant death. The risk for NTDs is 50 percent greater in Latinos than among Caucasions. In addition, infants born to Mexican-born mothers have 1.7 times the risk of these defects compared to infants born to U.S.-born mothers.

The percent of California Children's Services (CCS) enrolled children registered in CMS Net, the statewide automated case **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

management and data collection system for CCS.

STATUS:

GOAL To have CCS statewide computer system that captures data on all of the CCS enrolled children.

The percent of California Children's Services (CCS) enrolled children registered in CMS Net, the statewide automated case **DEFINITION** 

management and data collection system for CCS.

Numerator:

The number of CCS enrolled children who are entered in CMS Net.

Denominator:

The total number of CCS enrolled children.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

The data will be collected through CMS Net and CCS program files.

The CCS program is run as a state-county partnership. The CMS Net data collection, analysis, and monitoring system will SIGNIFICANCE

be used to track health service provision, health outcomes, monitor quality standards, and provide a basis for health policy development for CSHCN. Currently 52 of 58 counties are entering data into the CMS Net.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner physical abuse in the past 12 months.

STATUS: Active

**GOAL** To reduce the prevalence of domestic violence against women.

Numerator:

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator: Number of women in the Ca. Women's Health Survey who report any of the following: having been pushed, had objects thrown at her, was slapped, was hit with an object, was kicked or hit, was choked, was beaten up or was threatened

with a gun or knife by an intimate partner in the past 12 months, weighted according to the most recent available Census data.

Denominator:

Denominator: Number of women surveyed, weighted to the most recent available Census data.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES California Women's Health Survey, California Department of Health Services. U.S. Census data for California, California

Department of Finance.

SIGNIFICANCE Domestic violence is the leading cause of injury to women ages 15-44 in the U.S. Annually, about 30% of murdered women

are killed by their intimates. In California, 196,832 incidents of domestic violence were reported to law enforcement, and

56,892 domestic violence arrests were made in 1998.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of youth aged 12-17 years who report smoking cigarettes in the past 30 days.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the number of youth who smoke tobacco products.

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:**Number of youth 12-17 years of age in California who report having smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days.

Number of youth 12-17 years of age. Survey data are weighted to be representative of the age group in the State.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

State of California, Department of Health Services, Cancer Control Branch, Tobacco Control Section, California Tobacco **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Control Update, August 2000.

Tobacco is the number one preventable cause of death in the U.S. More than 80% of adult smokers had tried smoking by **SIGNIFICANCE** their 18th birthday, and more than half had become regular smokers by that time. Of the almost 3,000 young people who

become regular smokers each day in the U.S., nearly 1,000 of them will have their lives shortened from tobacco-related

SO# 1

**OUTCOME MEASURE:** The maternal mortality rate per 100,000 live births.

STATUS: Active

GOAL To reduce the rate of maternal deaths.

**DEFINITION** 

**Numerator:**Number of deaths to mothers caused by complications of pregnancy. Group cause of death codes with Group number 261-

273 were used. Denominator: Number of live births.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate per one hundred thousand

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Vital records collected by the State of California.

SIGNIFICANCE

Maternal mortality serves as a sentinel event, and as such, serves as a good indicator of maternal health care and prenatal care for women, especially minorities. African-American women, in particular, have a disproportionately high rate of maternal mortality. Maternal mortality rates in California continue to fluctuate annually, but have not shown major improvements since the mid-1970s.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 16
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: CA

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY	MEASURE # 01
-------------------------	--------------

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

	Annual Indicator Data					
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	
Annual Indicator	34.3	33.4	34.7	32.6	32.6	
Numerator	8,511	8,286	8,639	8,286	·	
Denominator	2,481,946	2,479,032	2,492,753	2,539,962		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional	

### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02**

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	66.0	70.8	66.2	67.3	67.3
Numerator	290,443	314,433	315,811	339,207	
Denominator	439,994	444,404	476,809	504,371	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03**

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator					
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					

# **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04**

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	76.3	76.6	77.8	78.7	78.7
Numerator	389,124	389,724	396,169	409,931	·
Denominator	509,922	508,879	509,038	521,117	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

The percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who h	nave received any de	ental services during t	ne year.		
			<b>Annual Indicator Da</b>	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	44.6	45.5	48.1	35.5	35.5
Numerato	301,593	320,544	343,183	342,288	
Denominato	675,657	704,765	713,183	964,016	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	)				
				Final	Provisional
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08 The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving		ces from the State Ch	ildren with Special He	ealth Care Needs (CS	
EALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08		ces from the State Ch	·	ealth Care Needs (CS	
IEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08	g rehabilitative servi		Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS	SHCN) Program.
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08 The percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receiving	g rehabilitative servi	2001	Annual Indicator Da	ealth Care Needs (CS ata 2003	SHCN) Program.

Final

Final

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 17

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Patient Discharge Data (OSHPD-PDD), January 1-December 31, 2002.

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Primary diagnoses of each discharge abstract were reviewed. Secondary diagnoses were not examined. Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Patient Discharge Data (OSHPD-PDD), January 1-December 31, 2003.

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Primary diagnoses of each discharge abstract were reviewed. Secondary diagnoses were not examined.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

 $http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt$ 

Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of Medi-Cal enrolled children less than one year of age who received at least one CHDP service in the reporting year.

Source is CHDP program data and State Medi-Cal claims files.

Numerator is the number of children under one year of age enrolled in Medi-Cal who received at least one CHDP service in FY 2001-2002.

Denominator is the unduplicated number of children under one year of age enrolled in Medi-Cal in FY 2001-2002.

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of Medi-Cal enrolled children less than one year of age who received at least one CHDP service in the reporting year.

Source is CHDP program data and State Medi-Cal claims files.

Numerator is the number of children under one year of age enrolled in Medi-Cal who received at least one CHDP service in FY 2002 -2003

Denominator is the unduplicated number of children under one year of age enrolled in Medi-Cal in FY 2002-2003. The methodology for the denominator was changed to be more inclusive for FY 2001-02. The same methodology was applied to data for FY 1999-00 and FY 2000-01, resulting in annual indicators of 66 and 70.8 respectively.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Manual indicator for 2004 is based on 2003.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data is not available for analysis.

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data is not available for analysis.

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data is not available for analysis.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch. Observations with missing values were subtracted from the denominator when calculating the percents shown.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Observations with missing values were subtracted from the denominator when calculating the percents shown.

12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

13. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year.

Source is the revised HCFA-416 Form, element numbers 1 and 12a.

Numerator is the revised HCFA-416 Form element number 1 for FY 2002-03.

Denominator is the revised HCFA-416 Form element number 12a for FY 2002-03.

The methodology for calculating the 2000 value changed in the FY2002 application. Applying this methodology to 1999 would yield 43.8%. Change in data source for 2000 precludes comparing data with earlier years.

14. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of EPSDT eligible children aged 6 through 9 years who have received any dental services during the year.

Source is the revised HCFA-416 Form, element numbers 1 and 12a.

Numerator is the revised HCFA-416 Form element number 1 for FY 2003-04.

Denominator is the revised HCFA-416 Form element number 12a for FY 2003-04.

Medical Care Statistics has been providing the numerator and denominator for this performance measure the past few years, but for FY 2003-04, the numerator and denominator were provided by Medstat using the Management Information System/Decision Support System (MIS/DSS) data base. The numerator for the measure was similar to 2002-03, but the denominator was much larger, most likely secondary to using different aid codes in determining the EPSDT eligible children. The performance measure for 2003-04 cannot be compared with prior years.

15. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Manual indicator for 2004 is based on 2003.

16. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of SSI beneficiaries through age 15 years receiving rehabilitative services through the CCS program. Source of data for the numerator is from CMS Net and CCS program data and for the denominator is from the publication: Social Security Administration Office of Policy, Children Receiving SSI.

Numerator: Children under 21 years of age enrolled in CCS with aid codes of 20 and 60 for FY 2002. Since active cases on CMS Net represent an estimated 41.6 percent

of all active CCS cases for CA for FY 2002, the number with aid codes 20 and 60 from CMS Net is extrapolated for CA. Note that the CMS Net system has not had the capability of identifying children through 15 years, so these are children through 20 years. Also there are other disability aid codes but the numbers would be very small, and there may be one children with aid codes 20 and 60 not receiving rehabilitative services, but again this number would be very small.

Denominator: Data from the publication: Social Security Administration Office of Policy, Children Receiving SSI for December 2002 for California.

Note: The methodology for this measure has changed for FY 2002. The numerator previously was children in the CCS program who had at least one rehabilitative service resulting in a claim paid by the Medi-Cal program. This results in an over approximation, since not all of these children have SSI. The numerator for FY 2002 is the number of children in the CMS Net system with aid codes of 20 or 60 (disabled children with SSI). This number is extrapolated for all counties. This number is considered a closer approximation to the number of CSHCN with SSI receiving rehabilitative services.

The denominator has changed as well. The denominator previously was the unduplicated count of Medi-Cal enrolled children age 15 and under with aid codes 20 and 60. This number is larger than the number published by the Social Security Administration Office of Policy, Children Receiving SSI. This latter reference for December 2002, however, contains the number of SSI beneficiaries under 16 years for California, and is the recommended reference for this Title V measure. Therefore, the denominator has been changed to reflect this number.

17. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2003
Field Note:

This measure is the percent of SSI beneficiaries through age 15 years receiving rehabilitative services through the CCS program. Source of data for the numerator is from CMS Net and CCS program data and for the denominator is from the publication: Social Security Administration Office of Policy, Children Receiving SSI. Numerator: Children under 21 years of age enrolled in CCS with aid codes of 20 and 60 (disabled children with SSI) for FY 2003-04. Since active cases on CMS Net represent an estimated 50.5 percent of all active CCS cases for CA for FY 2003, the number with aid codes 20 and 60 from CMS Net is extrapolated for CA. Note that the CMS Net system has not had the capability of identifying children through 15 years, so these are children through 20 years. Also there are other disability aid codes but the

numbers would be very small, and there may be some children with aid codes 20 and 60 not receiving rehabilitative services, but again this number would be very small. Denominator: Data from the publication: Social Security Administration Office of Policy, Children Receiving SSI for December 2003 for California. This is the number of SSI beneficiaries under 18 years for California.

18. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure is the percent of SSI beneficiaries through age 15 years receiving rehabilitative services through the CCS program. Source of data for the numerator is from CMS Net and CCS program data and for the denominator is from the publication: Social Security Administration Office of Policy, Children Receiving SSI. Numerator: Children under 16 years of age enrolled in CCS with aid codes of 20 and 60 (disabled children with SSI) for FY 2003-04. Since active cases on CMS Net represent an estimated 55.1 percent of all active CCS cases for CA for FY 2003, the number with aid codes 20 and 60 from CMS Net is extrapolated for CA. Note that the

CMS Net system now has the capability of identifying children through 15 years.

The denominator is from the Social Security Administration Office of Policy, Children Receiving SSI for December, the midpoint of the current fiscal year, for children under

16 years of age.

This is the first year that data have been available for children less than 16 years of age, so no comparative data for previous years are available.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: CA

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity	VEAD			POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	6.7	6.5	6.6
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2002	Matching data files	6.1	4.7	5.5
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	82.3	91.2	87.3
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	75.8	80.7	78.7

FORM 18
HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL)
STATE: CA

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 5) (Age range 6 to 19) (Age range 10)	2003	133 100
c) Pregnant Women	2003	200

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: CA

INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2003	250
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 19) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2003	
c) Pregnant Women		

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

HSCI 06A & B: Medi-Cal eligibility levels vary with the age of children. For 1 through 5 year olds, eligibility is 133% FPL; as indicated on the table, eligibility is 100% FPL for 6 to 19 years old. Source: Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch, California Department of Health Services.

In November 1999, the SCHIP eligibility level in California's Healthy Families Program was increased to 250% FPL. This applies to infants and children. Source: Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch "Changes to Healthy Families Program", December 30, 1999.

HSCI 06C: Medi-Cal eligibility level for pregnant women is 200% FPL. Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Medi-Cal Eligibility Branch. Pregnant women are not covered by SCHIP.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 06 - Medicaid

Field Name: Med\_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Pregnant women are not covered by SCHIP.

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP\_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Pregnant women are not covered by SCHIP.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: LowBirthWeight

Row Name: Percent of ow birth weight (<2,500 grams)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

HSCI 05A: Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Expected payer source for delivery was used. Observations with missing birthweight were subtracted when calculating the percentages. Cases with missing payer source included in Total. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

4. Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: InfantDeath

Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

HSCI 05B: Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 Birth Cohort file. Expected payer source for delivery was used to compute rates. Cases with missing payer source included in Total. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Section Number: Indicator 05
 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Payer source for prenatal care was used. Observations with missing prenatal care initiation were subtracted from the denominator when calculating the percents shown. Cases with missing payer source included in Total. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Payer source for prenatal care was used. Observations with missing values were subtracted from the denominator when calculating the percents shown. Cases with missing payer source included in Total.

Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

### **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: CA

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	1	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	3	Yes
41 8 11		

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

# FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: CA

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	1	No
Other: California Health Interview Survey	3	Yes

# HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	1	No
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	Yes
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other: California Health Interview Survey	3	Yes

#### 'Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is <u>not</u> large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

### Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

# FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19

None

# FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: YRBSS\_09B Row Name: Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

In California, data similar to the Youth Risk Behavior Survey is collected by the California Healthy Kids Survey (CHKS). The CHKS is administered by the California Department of Education and gathers data on behaviors such as physical activity and nutritional habits; alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use; school safety; and environmental and individual strengths and assets.

# FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: CA

		OIAIL	. 07						
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01A									
The percent of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		6.2		6.3	6.4		6.6		6.6
Numerator		32,853		33,196	33,859		35,659		
Denominator	r	531,276		527,366	529,237		540,814		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•					Final		Provisional	
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B									
he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator		4.9		4.9	5.0		5.1		5.1
Numerator	·	25,161		25,162	25,546		26,816		
Denominator	·	516,580		512,251	513,770		524,856		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•					Final		Provisional	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator	·	1.1		1.1	1.2		1.2		1.2
Numerato	r	6,075		6,054	6,127		6,344		
Denominator	•	531,276		527,366	529,237		540,814		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,	,				Final		Provisional	
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B									
The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.									
					Annual Indicator Da	ata			
	2000		2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Indicator				0.9	0.9		0.9		0.9
Numerator		4,545		4,552	4,583		4,665		
Denominato	r	516,580		512,251	513,770		524,856		

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	6.9	6.3	5.9	6.2	6
	538		470	496	
		7,856,359	7,905,059	7,960,784	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03B					
e death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a	ged 14 years and ye	ounger due to motor			
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	<u>ita</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator	2.9		2.9	3.6	3.
	228		227	289	
		7,856,359		7,960,784	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		.,000,000	.,000,000	Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C	12.1.		.1.04		
e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	enicie crasnes amon	g youth aged 15 thro	•	4-	
	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	<u>1114</u> 2003	2004
				04.0	
Annual Indicator	14.4	19.0	21.0	21.0	2
	14.4 700			1,083	2
Numerator		941	1,059		2

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes		, ,	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	40.0	37.5	38.4	38.0	38
Numerator	3,117	2,946	3,037	3,027	
	<u> </u>	7,856,359		7,960,784	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
ne rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	among youth aged	15 through 24 years.	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	146.0	155.2	165.0	166.5	166.5
Numerator	7,097	7,692	8,326	8,591	
Denominator	4,860,696	4,956,819	5,045,468	5,160,658	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
				Final	Provisional
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A					Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A	case of chlamydia.	2001	Annual Indicator Da	ata	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	<b>2001</b> 21.9	Annual Indicator Da 2002		Provisional  2004
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A the rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of	case of chlamydia.	21.9	2002	ata 2003	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 21.8 25,126	21.9 25,794	2002 22.3 26,951	ata 2003 22.2	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 21.8 25,126 1,151,591	21.9	2002 22.3 26,951	2003 22.2 28,123	2004
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A he rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.8 25,126 1,151,591	21.9 25,794	2002 22.3 26,951	2003 22.2 28,123 1,268,519	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 21.8 25,126 1,151,591	21.9 25,794	2002 22.3 26,951	2003 22.2 28,123 1,268,519	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A  ne rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  21.8  25,126  1,151,591  case of chlamydia.	21.9 25,794 1,175,476	2002 22.3 26,951 1,206,876	2003 22.2 28,123 1,268,519 Final	2004 22.2 Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000  21.8  25,126  1,151,591  case of chlamydia.	21.9 25,794 1,175,476	2002 22.3 26,951 1,206,876 Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003  22.2  28,123  1,268,519  Final	2004 22.2 Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported of Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported of	2000 21.8 25,126 1,151,591 case of chlamydia.	21.9 25,794 1,175,476 2001	2002 22.3 26,951 1,206,876  Annual Indicator Day 2002 8.2	2003 22.2 28,123 1,268,519 Final	2004 22.2 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Final

Provisional

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

A manual indicator is reported for 2004.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch. Cases with missing birthweight were excluded from the denominator.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Cases with missing birthweight were excluded from the denominator.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File by place of residence. Tabulations were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch. Cases with missing birth weight were excluded from the denominator.

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Cases with missing birth weight were excluded from the denominator.

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch. Cases with missing birth weight were excluded from the denominator.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Cases with missing birth weight were excluded from the denominator.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch. Cases with missing birth weight were excluded from the denominator.

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B

Row Name:

Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Cases with missing birth weight were excluded from the denominator.

12. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

13. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10 as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Injury Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services.

Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

14. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 Death Statistical Master File by place of residence. Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10 as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services.

Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

15. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

16. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 Death Statistical Master File (by place of residence). Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10 as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Injury Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

17. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 Death Statistical Master File (by place of residence). Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10 as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services.

Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

 $http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt$ 

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

18. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

19. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2002 California Death Statistical Master File (by place of residence).

Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10 as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Injury Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

#### 20. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File (by place of residence). Selected Injury-Related Sections of ICD-10 as modified by the National Center for Health Statistics, Centers for Disease Control And Prevention and Epidemiology and the Prevention for Injury Control (EPIC) Branch, California Department of Health Services.

Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

# 21. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name:

Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

### 22. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Patient Discharge Data (OSHPD-PDD), January 1-December 31, 2002. Principal external cause of injury codes were used.

Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

#### 23. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Patient Discharge Data (OSHPD-PDD), January 1-December 31, 2003. Principal external cause of injury codes were used.

Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

 $http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt$ 

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

## 24. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

### 25. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Patient Discharge Data (OSHPD-PDD), January 1-December 31, 2002. All external cause of injury codes were used. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

### 26. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Patient Discharge Data (OSHPD-PDD), January 1-December 31, 2003. All external cause of injury codes were used. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

 $http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt$ 

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

## 27. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Patient Discharge Data (OSHPD-PDD), January 1-December 31, 2002.

Tabulations were done by the Maternal and Child Health Branch. All external cause of injury codes were used.

Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: Numerator: State of California, Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development, Patient Discharge Data (OSHPD-PDD), January 1-December 31, 2003. All external cause of injury codes were used. Tabulations (by place of residence) were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Denominator: Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004. http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-

2050/California.txt

Rates for the years 2000-2002 may have changed from those reported in last year's report because they have been recalculated based upon updated population projections from the California Department of Finance. The new projections are based on the 2000 Census while data from previous reports were based on population projections from the 1990 Census.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

31. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Branch. "Chlamydia, Cases and Rates by Race/Ethnicity, Gender and Age Group, California, 2002 Provisional Data", http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/dcdc/STD/docs/Chlamydia%201998-2002%20Provisional%20Tables.pdf

Denominator: State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 1970-2040. Sacramento, California, December 1998.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/Race.htm.

32. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: California DHS, STD Branch, Chlamydia, Cases and Rates by Race/Ethnicity, Gender and Age Group, California, 2003 Provisional Data, Available at:

http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/dcdc/STD/docs/Chlamydia%201999-2003%20Provisional%20Tables.pdf.

Denominator: State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000–2050. Sacramento, CA, May 2004. Available at: http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt .

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Sexually Transmitted Disease (STD) Branch. "Chlamydia, Cases and Rates by Race/Ethnicity, Gender and Age

Group, California, 2002 Provisional Data"

http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/dcdc/STD/docs/Chlamydia%201998-2002%20Provisional%20Tables.pdf

Denominator: State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 1970-2040. Sacramento, California, December 1998.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/Race.htm.

35. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Source: California DHS, STD Branch, Chlamydia, Cases and Rates by Race/Ethnicity, Gender and Age Group, California, 2003 Provisional Data, Available at:

http://www.dhs.ca.gov/ps/dcdc/STD/docs/Chlamydia%201999-2003%20Provisional%20Tables.pdf.

State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, CA, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt

Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name:

Year: 2004
Field Note:
A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

STATE: CA

HSI #06A - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and race. (Demographics) 

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	531,434	434,655	32,376	3,180	49,099	1,612	10,512	
Children 1 through 4	2,008,528	1,581,438	122,874	9,091	181,821	5,968	107,336	
Children 5 through 9	2,602,062	2,057,177	190,955	18,965	238,896	9,333	86,736	
Children 10 through 14	2,818,760	2,216,683	224,846	22,620	261,688	10,909	82,014	
Children 15 through 19	2,617,630	2,045,165	204,283	21,734	266,210	10,578	69,660	
Children 20 through 24	2,543,028	1,998,356	175,574	19,863	281,517	10,416	57,302	
Children 0 through 24	13,121,442	10,333,474	950,908	95,453	1,279,231	48,816	413,560	0

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	265,707	265,727	
Children 1 through 4	1,036,085	972,443	
Children 5 through 9	1,373,793	1,228,269	
Children 10 through 14	1,559,835	1,258,925	
Children 15 through 19	1,530,624	1,087,006	
Children 20 through 24	1,418,373	1,124,655	
Children 0 through 24	7,184,417	5,937,025	0

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	710	576	67	8	24	2	30	3
Women 15 through 17	16,193	13,608	1,308	129	516	39	446	147
Women 18 through 19	33,137	27,675	2,700	204	1,178	136	878	366
Women 20 through 34	399,180	315,675	21,464	1,884	45,788	1,922	7,270	5,177
Women 35 or older	91,570	69,066	4,285	264	14,454	375	1,420	1,706
Women of all ages	540,790	426,600	29,824	2,489	61,960	2,474	10,044	7,399

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	171	534	5
Women 15 through 17	4,032	12,024	137
Women 18 through 19	10,610	22,228	299
Women 20 through 34	191,438	203,750	3,992
Women 35 or older	59,044	31,149	1,377
Women of all ages	265,295	269,685	5,810

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	2,819	2,069	367	12	225	16	117	13
Children 1 through 4	558	425	65	5	38	2	20	3
Children 5 through 9	355	274	34	0	35	2	8	2
Children 10 through 14	484	367	51	1	50	2	12	1
Children 15 through 19	1,536	1,184	198	9	103	11	27	4
Children 20 through 24	2,146	1,604	294	18	164	10	40	16
Children 0 through 24	7,898	5,923	1,009	45	615	43	224	39

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	1,395	1,411	13
Children 1 through 4	268	289	1
Children 5 through 9	187	168	0
Children 10 through 14	265	219	0
Children 15 through 19	869	667	0
Children 20 through 24	1,234	911	1
Children 0 through 24	4,218	3,665	15

**HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	10,105,722	7,962,912.0	737,169.0	76,855.0	951,981.0	36,445.0	340,360.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	27.4	25.8	59.0		15.9				2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	100.0	69.5	19.2	0.5	10.0		0.8		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	3,444,016	2,662,035.0	393,745.0	15,221.0	246,146.0	31,208.0	0	95,661.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	697,311	495,997.0	18,067.0	2,360.0	84,636.0			96,251.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	89,913	57,457.0	29,559.0	1,060.0	1,837.0				2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	1,232,150	826,008.0	249,170.0	4,735.0	135,255.0	0	0	16,982.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	1,927,014	1,629,501.0	152,111.0	9,929.0	120,274.0	0	0	15,199.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	3,217.0	3,090.0	7,753.0	2,710.0	1,160.0	3,012.0	0	0	2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	3.2	3.1	5.7	4.6	1.7			6.1	2004

**HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	5,411,197.0	4,694,525.0		2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	25.5	29.8		2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	48.4	51.6		2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	1,297,815.0	2,050,540.0	95,661.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	242,833.0	403,380.0	51,098.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	56,803.0	33,110.0		2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	665,872.0	566,278.0	0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	529,700.0	1,382,115.0	15,199.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	3,105.0	3,179.0	0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	2.4	4.3	6.1	2004

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

Reporting Year: 2004	Is this data from a State Projection? No	Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	TOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	9,347,792	
Living in urban areas	9,347,792	
Living in rural areas	757,930	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19	10,105,722	

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

# FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: CA

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Total Population	35,394,000.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	5.9
100% of poverty	13.3
200% of poverty	33.1

# FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: CA

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics) Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

TOTAL	
10,105,722.0	
8.5	
19.2	
41.3	
	10,105,722.0  8.5  19.2

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

HSI 06A & B: Source:

Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt. Tabulations were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

HSI 07A & B: Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Birth Statistical Master File. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch. Maternal multi-race code used to stratify by race/ethnicity. Cases with unknown age not included in Total.

HSI 08 A & B: Source: State of California, Department of Health Services, Center for Health Statistics, 2003 California Death Statistical Master File. Decendent multi-race code used. Tabulations by place of residence were done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

HSI 09A & B: For the purposes of HSI9A, all Hispanics are combined with Whites. (All other races include non-Hispanics only.) See individual field level notes for a detailed description of data sources and methods.

HSI 10: Sources: California 0-19 data from California Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004. http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt. Tabulations done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

Percent Metropolitan/Non-Metropolitan from the U.S. Bureau of the Census, "Ranking Tables for Population of Metropolitan Statistical Areas, Micropolitan Statistical Areas, Micropolitan Statistical Areas, Combined Statistical Areas, New England City and Town Areas, and Combined New England City and Town Areas: 1990 and 2000 (Areas Defined by the Office of Management and Budget as of June 6, 2003). Table 5b. (http://www.census.gov/population/www/cen2000/phc-t29.html).

32,750,394 / 35,394,000 = .925 (percent total metropolitan CA population according to the US Bureau of the Census)
.925 \* 10,105,722 = 9,347,792 (California 0-19 in MSA derived by multiplying percent of total California population living in metropolitan areas according to the US Bureau of the Census by the estimated number of persons 0-19 according to the California Department of Finance Population Projections).
10,105,722 - 9,347,792 = 757,930 (California 0-19 in non-MSA)

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

I. Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06\_Race\_Infants Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children1to4
 Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children5to9 Row Name: children 5 through 9

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children10to14
 Row Name: children 10 through 14

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children15to19
 Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

6. Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children20to24 Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

 Section Number: Indicator 06B Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Infants

Row Name: Infants 0 to 1 Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 06B
 Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children1to4
 Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

9. Section Number: Indicator 06B Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children5to9 Row Name: children 5 through 9

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

10. Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children10to14

Row Name: children 10 through 14

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

11. Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children15to19

Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

12. Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children20to24

Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

A manual indicator is reported for 2004 based on 2003.

13. Section Number: Indicator 08A

Field Name: S08\_Race\_Children15to19 Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

14. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_Children Row Name: All children 0 through 19

> Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt.

15. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Data for the percent in household headed by single parent are from the DOF California Current Population Survey, March, 2004 Supplement. The American Indian/Native Alaskan group was not broken out because of very small numbers but is included in the total column. Asian percent includes Pacific Islanders. Only children living in primary families or related subfamilies were considered as living in a single parent headed household. Sums may have been rounded. Race/ethnicity designation refers to the child, not the parent.

16. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_TANFPercent

Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: The percent in TANF families data are from the Department of Social Services, Administration Division, Federal Data Reporting and Analysis Bureau, per ad hoc report. Data are the TANF population stratified by race. Asian percent includes Pacific Islanders.

17. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_MedicaidNo Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Data on the number enrolled in Medi-Cal was from a special run from the California Department of Health Services, Medical Care Statistics Section to duplicate the HCFA-2082 report, Medi-Cal eligible counts by age and race/ethnicity for person aged 20 and under. Reporting FFY October 2003 to September 2004 based on October 2003 month of eligibility. Data run date: 05/02/05.

18. Section Number: Indicator 09A
Field Name: HSIRace, SCHIPNo

Field Name: HSIRace\_SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: SCHIP: CA Managed Risk Medical InsuranceBoard, Healthy Families Programs (Subscribers Enrolled by Ethnicity HFP Report 5). Tables on this website change and are updated from month to month; 2004 data is "as of January 3, 2005." Asian total includes Pacific Islanders. Number Currently Enrolled in CHIP/Healthy Families Programs Subscribers by Race and Ethnicity: CY 2003. http://www.mrmib.ca.gov/MRMIB/HFP/HFPRpt5ADEC.pdf.

19. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_FoodStampNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Food Stamp Program: California Department of Social Services, Administration Division, Food Stamps Characteristics Survey FFY 2003, Table 6 - Ethnicity of Food Stamp Recipients. Federal Data Reporting and Analysis Bureau. These race figures are estimates based on the publication listed above. The fraction of children (0-20) was

multiplied respectively for totals of all food stamp recipients. Numerator for the fraction is 1,232,150 and denominator is 1,809,271 to arrive at .6810201 as the rate for children (0-20). The rate was then multiplied by the total number of food stamp recipients broken down by race. Asian estimate includes Pacific Islanders. Numbers for race may have been rounded.

20. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_WICNo Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

> Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Number of children enrolled in WIC; California Department of Health Services, Women, Infant and Children Supplemental Food Branch: WIC enrollees aged 19 years and under Oct. 2003 through Sept 2004 by Race.

21. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: CA Department of Justice, Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, May 2004. These data include felony and misdemeanor offenses age 19 and younger. Numerator: Adult and Juvenile Arrests Reported, 2003, Level of Offense by Race/Ethnic Group and Age. Denominator: State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 1970-2040. Sacramento, CA. December 1998.

22. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: High School Drop Outs by Grade Level, Race/Ethnicity 2002-2003: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit. Report for Number of dropouts by grade and ethnicity for 2002-2003 using DataQuest,http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

23. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_Children Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt.

24. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Data for the percent in household headed by single parent are from the California Department of Finance Current Population Survey March 2004 Supplement. Only children living in primary families or related subfamilies were considered as living in a single parent headed household. Sums may have been rounded. Race/ethnicity designation refers to the child not the parent.

25. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_TANFPercent Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: The percent in TANF families data are from the Department of Social Services, Administration Division. Federal Data Reporting and Analysis Bureau, per ad hoc report. Data are the TANF population stratified by ethnicity.

26. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_MedicaidNo Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Data on the number enrolled in Medi-Cal was from a special run from the California Department of Health Services, Medical Care Statistics Section to duplicate the HCFA-2082 report, Medi-Cal eligible counts by age and race/ethnicity for person aged 20 and under. Reporting FFY October 2003 to September 2004 based on October 2003 month of eligibility. Data run date: 05/02/05.

Section Number: Indicator 09B
 Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_SCHIPNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: SCHIP: CA Managed Risk Medical InsuranceBoard, Healthy Families Program (Data as of 01/03/05). Tables on this website change and are updated from month to month. Number Currently Enrolled in CHIP/Healthy Families Program Subscribers by Race and Ethnicity: CY 2004.

28. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_FoodStampNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Food Stamp Program: California Department of Social Services, Administration Division, Food Stamps Characteristics Survey FFY 2002 Table 6 - Ethnicity of Food Stamp Recipients. Federal Data Reporting and Analysis Bureau, per ad hoc request. These ethnicity figures are estimates based on the publication listed above. The fraction of children (0-20) was multiplied respectively for totals of all food stamp recipients. Numerator for the fraction is 1,232,150 and denominator is 1,809,271 to arrive at .6810201 as the rate for children (0-20). The rate was then multiplied by the total number of food stamp recipients broken down by ethnicity. Numbers for ethnicity may have been rounded.

29. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_WICNo Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Number of children enrolled in WIC: California Department of Health Services, Women, Infant and Children Supplemental Food Branch: WIC enrollees aged 19 years and under Oct. 2003 through Sept 2004 by Ethnicity.

30. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: CA Department of Justice, Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, May 2004. These data include felony and misdemeanor offenses age 19 and younger. Numerator: Adult and Juvenile Arrests Reported, 2003, Level of Offense by Race/Ethnic Group and Age. Denominator: State of California, Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 1970-2040. Sacramento, CA. December 1998.

31. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: High School Drop Outs by Grade Level, Race/Ethnicity 2002-2003: California Department of Education, Educational Demographics Unit. Report for Number of dropouts by grade and ethnicity for 2002-2003 using DataQuest,http://data1.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/

32. Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_total Row Name: Total Population

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State of California, Department of Finance, Current Population Survey, March 2004. Tabulation done by the Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit.

Section Number: Indicator 11
 Field Name: S11\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State of California, Department of Finance, Current Population Survey, March 2004. Tabulation done by the Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit.

Section Number: Indicator 11
 Field Name: S11\_100percent
 Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State of California, Department of Finance, Current Population Survey, March 2004. Tabulation done by the Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit.

Section Number: Indicator 11
 Field Name: S11\_200percent
 Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State of California, Department of Finance, Current Population Survey, March 2004. Tabulation done by the Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit.

**36. Section Number:** Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_Children

Row Name: Children 0 through 19 years old

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Department of Finance, Race/Ethnic Population with Age and Sex Detail, 2000-2050. Sacramento, California, May 2004.

http://www.dof.ca.gov/html/Demograp/DRU\_datafiles/Race/RaceData\_2000-2050/California.txt. Tabulations done by the MCAH/OFP Branch.

37. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State of California, Department of Finance, Current Population Survey, March 2004. Tabulation done by the Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit.

38. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State of California, Department of Finance, Current Population Survey, March 2004. Tabulation done by the Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit.

39. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

State of California, Department of Finance, Current Population Survey, March 2004. Tabulation done by the Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Foster Home Care: California Department of Social Services, Child & Family Services Division. California University of Berkeley provides statewide, aggregate and

county specific data for the child and family services review (Report as of Jan 2003 for children aged 0-18) http://CSSR.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/pointintime/fostercare/childwfl/data/CWF\_PE\_jan2003\_.html

41. Section Number: Indicator 09B
Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_FosterCare
Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Source: Foster Home Care: California Department of Social Services, Child & Family Services Division. University of California, Berkeley provides statewide, aggregate and county specific data for the child and family services review (Report as of Jan 2003 for children aged 0-18) http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Pointintime/Fostercare/childwfl/data/cwf\_pe\_jan2003\_.html.

# NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: CA

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The percent of children whose family income is less than 200 percent of the Federal Poverty Level who received at least

one preventive medical exam during the fiscal year.

GOAL

All low-income children will have a primary care provider to meet their preventive, acute, and chronic health care needs.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator

Number of children who have received at least one preventive medical examination as measured by the Child Health &

Disability Prevention Program health assessment exam (PM 160 data) .

**Denominator:** 

Number of children whose family income is less than 200 percent of the federal poverty level.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

California census data, CHDP/PM 160 form data. The necessary data are not available from Healthy Families.

Annual preventive health examinations are an ideal method for the recognition and early intervention of all medical problems. Most children without health coverage come from low-income families and, as a result, receive health examinations at a significantly lower rate than children of higher economic levels. Annual health examinations allow for treatment and referral of acute and chronic health care needs and provide for early identification of CSHCN. CMS coordinates and implements cooperative agreements at the state and local level for information, referral, and assistance for families to enroll in programs such as Medi-Cal, Healthy Families, and WIC to improve access to primary care services

for children included in this Performance Measure.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile of weight-for-length (less than 2 years) or BMI-for-

age (2-12 years), or overweight.

GOAL DEFINITION

SIGNIFICANCE

To reduce the prevalence of overweight among California's youth through the adoption of healthier lifestyle practices.

The percent of low-income children who are above the 95th percentile of weight-for-length (less than 2 years) or BMI-for-

age (2-12 years), or overweight.

Numerator:

Number of children age 0-12 years served in the Child Health and Disability Prevention program with a weight-for-length (less than 2 years) or a BMI-for-age (2-12 years) above the 95th percentile.

. . .

Denominator:

The number of children age 0-12 years in the Child Health and Disability Prevention program with a weight and

length/height measurement indicated on the PM160 form.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Beginning with FY 2001, data have

been updated to utilize the 2000 CDC growth chart percentiles and CDC has unduplicated the data.

California's concern with pediatric overweight is related to the association of childhood weight problems with adult overweight and obesity, and the increased risk of a number of chronic diseases, such as high blood pressure, Type II diabetes, stroke, and heart disease. In addition, childhood overweight serves as a sentinel event for broader issues of

unhealthy lifestyle practices.

OBJECTIVE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The rate of deaths per 100,000 children aged 1 through 4 years caused by drowning in swimming pools.

GOAL To reduce the rate of drowning deaths of children aged 1 through 4 years caused by drowning in swimming pools.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator

Number of deaths to children aged 1 through 4 years caused by drowning in swimming pools. This excludes drowning in

bathtubs, buckets, and natural bodies of water.

Denominator:

All children in the State aged 1 through 4 years.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

 $ICD10\ codes\ used\ for\ the\ numerator\ are\ W67\ and\ W68.\ California\ Department\ of\ Finance\ for\ denominator\ data.$ 

Drowning is a leading cause of injury death to toddlers and preschool-age children (ages 1 through 4 years) in California and has been for the last decade. In 1994, 74 (3.1 per 100,000) children aged 1-4 years drowned in swimming pools and 305 (13.0 per 100,000) were victims of near-drowning. The majority of drowning deaths (approximately 60%) in this age

group occur in residential swimming pools.

**OBJECTIVE** 

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by homicide.

GOAL To reduce the rate of deaths to adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by homicide.

**DEFINITION** The rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by homicide.

Number of deaths to adolescents aged 15-19 years caused by homicide.

Denominator:

All adolescents in the State aged 15-19 years.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Vital Statistics Death Records for numerator data. California Department of Finance for denominator data.

SIGNIFICANCE

Homicide is a leading cause of death for California children and adolescents. In addition, it serves as a sentinel event in the wider problem of adolescent violence in the State. In California, in 1996, 691 children less than 19 years of age died from homicide. Seventy-five percent (n=521) of these homicides were to victims aged 15-19 years old for a homicide rate of 24.7 per 100,000. With 20.5 deaths for every 100 hospitalizations, attempted homicide is three times more lethal than all other

injuries in California (1994 data). In 1994, gunshots caused 90 percent of the homicides among youths aged 16-20 years.

**OBJECTIVE** 

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The rate of deaths per 100,000 adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by motor vehicle injuries.

To reduce the number and rate of deaths to adolescents aged 15 through 19 years caused by motor vehicle injuries. GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of deaths to adolescents aged 15-19 years caused by motor vehicle injuries.

Denominator:

All adolescents in the State aged 15-19 years.

Units: 100000 Text: Rate

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Vital Statistics Death Records for numerator data. California Department of Finance for denominator data.

SIGNIFICANCE

Motor vehicle injuries are the second leading cause of death for California adolescents. In California, in 1996, 666 youths aged 19 years and under died from motor vehicle injuries. The mortality rate for adolescents is approximately five times greater than for younger children, with 59 percent (n=395) of the motor vehicle deaths among children aged 19 and under occurring to victims aged 15-19 years. The motor vehicle death rate was 18.7 per 100,000 for youths aged 15-19 in 1996.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The incidence of neural tube defects (NTDs) per 10,000 live births plus fetal deaths among counties participating in the

California Birth Defects Monitoring System.

GOAL

To reduce the incidence of NTDs in California.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of NTDs among fetal deaths and live births in counties monitored by the California Birth Defects Monitoring System.

Denominator:

All fetal deaths plus live births in monitored counties.

Units: 10000 Text: Rate

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

California Birth Defects Monitoring Program Registry Data. The incidence of NTDs in monitored counties is believed to be

representative of the State's diverse population.

SIGNIFICANCE

There are two types of neural tube defects: spina bifida (open spinal cord) which causes lifelong physical disabilities and anencephaly (absence of the brain) which leads to infant death. The risk for NTDs is 50 percent greater in Latinos than

anencephaly (absence of the brain) which leads to infant death. The risk for NTDs is 50 percent greater in Latinos than among Caucasions. In addition, infants born to Mexican-born mothers have 1.7 times the risk of these defects compared to

infants born to U.S.-born mothers.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

The percent of California Children's Services (CCS) enrolled children registered in CMS Net, the statewide automated case **PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

management and data collection system for CCS.

GOAL To have CCS statewide computer system that captures data on all of the CCS enrolled children.

The percent of California Children's Services (CCS) enrolled children registered in CMS Net, the statewide automated case **DEFINITION** 

management and data collection system for CCS.

Numerator:

The number of CCS enrolled children who are entered in CMS Net.

Denominator:

The total number of CCS enrolled children.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

The data will be collected through CMS Net and CCS program files.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

The CCS program is run as a state-county partnership. The CMS Net data collection, analysis, and monitoring system will be used to track health service provision, health outcomes, monitor quality standards, and provide a basis for health policy development for CSHCN. Currently 52 of 58 counties are entering data into the CMS Net.

**OBJECTIVE** 

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The percent of women 18 years or older reporting intimate partner physical abuse in the past 12 months.

To reduce the prevalence of domestic violence against women. GOAL

Numerator:

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator: Number of women in the Ca. Women's Health Survey who report any of the following: having been pushed, had objects thrown at her, was slapped, was hit with an object, was kicked or hit, was choked, was beaten up or was threatened with a gun or knife by an intimate partner in the past 12 months, weighted according to the most recent available Census

Denominator:

Denominator: Number of women surveyed, weighted to the most recent available Census data.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** California Women's Health Survey, California Department of Health Services. U.S. Census data for California, California

Department of Finance.

Domestic violence is the leading cause of injury to women ages 15-44 in the U.S. Annually, about 30% of murdered women are killed by their intimates. In California, 196,832 incidents of domestic violence were reported to law enforcement, and SIGNIFICANCE

56,892 domestic violence arrests were made in 1998.

**OBJECTIVE** 

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The percent of youth aged 12-17 years who report smoking cigarettes in the past 30 days.

To reduce the number of youth who smoke tobacco products. GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of youth 12-17 years of age in California who report having smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days.

Number of youth 12-17 years of age. Survey data are weighted to be representative of the age group in the State.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** State of California, Department of Health Services, Cancer Control Branch, Tobacco Control Section, California Tobacco

Control Update, August 2000.

Tobacco is the number one preventable cause of death in the U.S. More than 80% of adult smokers had tried smoking by **SIGNIFICANCE** 

their 18th birthday, and more than half had become regular smokers by that time. Of the almost 3,000 young people who become regular smokers each day in the U.S., nearly 1,000 of them will have their lives shortened from tobacco-related

diseases.

**OBJECTIVE** 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010